




12028006

• This is an opportunity.



Kimball International

2012 Annual Report



which can grow



Kimball Hospitality – Bellagio® Las Vegas



Kimball Office – Priority™

We are
prepared
to take
advantage
of the
opportunities
for growth
that we
have been
shaping and
cultivating.

The Kimball story is a story of opportunity.

Every interaction, every meeting is not merely a connection between point A and point B, but an opportunity to do more, to advance, to grow.

Since our beginning over sixty years ago, Kimball has been making connections that advance not only in a linear fashion, but have enabled us to expand exponentially.

Every opportunity provides the occasion to expand our customer base, our product portfolio, our capabilities, our markets or our geographic footprint.

Our Kimball Hospitality brand is already acknowledged as the market leader. Success has been built on a culture of customer service, innovative product solutions, and responsive global execution. High-profile resort properties and major hotel brands like the Bellagio® Las Vegas and MGM Grand® Las Vegas recognize Kimball Hospitality's package of value in product design and development capabilities, logistics and unequalled service.

As an unparalleled supplier with both global and domestic capabilities, Kimball Hospitality stands ready for opportunities to serve the hotel, casino and travel industry marketplace.

Kimball Office provides solutions, for more productive, efficient and healthy workspaces. With one of the industry's most sustainable product portfolios, Kimball Office supports architects and interior designers with opportunities to earn LEED® certification points toward green facilities. From classic to contemporary, the versatile offerings of Kimball Office integrate easily throughout entire floor plans of an organization.


National Office Furniture's advance in the market continues. A strong product portfolio delivers modern functional design that performs in any space, from lobby to private office to open plan areas. With an outstanding team culture dedicated to delivering the ultimate in customer experience, National helps customers build success and take advantage of their own opportunities.



National Office Furniture – Confide™ Lounge



Medical Electronics






Public Safety Electronics



Automotive Electronics



Industrial Electronics

into more opportunities

We know that ever-increasing demand for advanced technology and electronics will reward those who are positioned to respond.


As a company and as an organization, we are uniquely positioned to seize opportunities as they arise. We are set in our capabilities and confident in our package of value, ready to take full advantage of each chance to advance.

We continue to succeed by making every opportunity an occasion to expand and diversify.

We are culturally set to view customer requests as opportunities for problem-solving; the challenges presented in quotation requests are opportunities for growth.

With strong will, leadership, courage and teamwork, we consistently make every occasion to collaborate a chance to build success.

Kimball Electronics' employees meet daily challenges with commitment and discipline, seizing opportunities to expand capabilities while serving four key markets. Customers value and rely upon the Kimball global footprint of operations and our capability to transfer production to meet their needs. Quality, expertise and performance keep us ranked in the global top twenty among electronics manufacturing services companies.



Furniture Manufacturing

We have every confidence in our employees, our capabilities, our products and package of value in building even more success.

and a bright future.

The Kimball story began as a story of seizing opportunity.

From building affordable pianos to producing cabinets for a new technology called television.

Anticipating customer needs and new markets has been at the heart of our Company's story.

We continue to live our Company vision to build success by viewing challenges as opportunities.

Opportunities that build success ultimately create opportunities to give back to our communities. National Office Furniture's "Gift of Inspiration" program achieved a milestone as it surpassed \$135,000 in donations to deserving healthcare and educational organizations.

We are committed to taking advantage of every opportunity. Persistence, commitment and a positive attitude move us forward, bringing ever more opportunity.

We do not view challenges as aggravation, but as new opportunities to be explored.

Our passion and perseverance turn opportunities into profitable business.

We believe in what we do and what we sell. We have passion in the package of value we bring to our customers. We are positioned well. We are capable of executing. Our strategy is sound and our long-term outlook is bright.

Creating opportunities is something we have long understood.

Seizing opportunities is how we build success.



Corporate Social Responsibility



Electronics Manufacturing

To Our Share Owners:

Opportunities still exist...

Opportunities still exist and continue to present themselves despite a volatile macroeconomic environment and global uncertainty. Seizing those opportunities when apparent and creating them when they were less obvious is how we have grown and strengthened your Company over the past year.

Financial results for fiscal year 2012 improved during the year. Our costs and operating results reflect our commitment to our priorities of focus, including a concentration on margin improvement and more effective management of our supply chain, both globally and domestically. Inventory reduction initiatives in both business segments were also successful, and contributed to the improved year-end results.

the EMS segment, which more than offset a 9% net sales increase in the Furniture segment. As reported throughout the year, the comparative results include the expiration of a large contract in late fiscal year 2011 in the EMS medical vertical. Hospitality furniture sales made a notable contribution to the segment's net sales increase with several large custom projects for major brand customers. Consolidated Selling and Administrative Expenses decreased 1.6% in absolute dollars, but increased as a percent of net sales on the lower revenue.


Gross Profit as a percent of sales increased by 2.2 percentage points over the prior year, as margin improvements in both segments made an impact, and due in part to a sales mix shift towards the Furniture segment which typically operates at a higher gross profit percentage than the EMS segment.

In the EMS segment, as a result of successful restructuring actions, gross profit as a percent of net sales improved by 1.4 percentage points over fiscal year 2011. In the Furniture segment, gross profit as a percent of net sales improved by 0.7 percentage points compared to fiscal year 2011. Focused attention on inventory reductions and internal operational improvements contributed to cost savings. Offsetting these improvements to a degree were commodity cost increases, higher freight transport and fuel costs, and the effects of excess capacity at certain operating locations.

Net Income for fiscal year 2012 was \$11.6 million, or \$0.31 per Class B diluted share, more than double last year's income. These results reflect your Company's efforts in growing its business, improving margins, and increasing Share Owner value in the face of changing customer value relationships between suppliers and consumers on a worldwide basis.

Cash management remained a key priority through the year, as the economic landscape remained volatile. Your Company's balance sheet remains strong, with minimal long-term debt. Cash and cash equivalents improved to \$75.2 million, as of the June 30 fiscal year end, compared to \$51.4 million at the end of fiscal 2011.

Segment Net Sales and Net Income
(In thousands)



Open orders in the Electronic Manufacturing Services (EMS) segment at June 30, 2012, were up 3% over 2011.

Orders open in the Furniture segment decreased 20% from the orders open as of year-end 2011, primarily due to lower office furniture orders from the federal government and a large hospitality custom project received near the end of last fiscal year, which was included in the June 30, 2011 open orders.

Consolidated Net Sales for fiscal year 2012 were \$1,142,061,000, a 5% decrease compared to last fiscal year sales. The consolidated decrease was a result of a 15% decrease in net sales in

Electronics:

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012, our EMS segment experienced its best quarterly performance from operations in thirty quarters, excluding non-operating and restructuring activities. The results of our U.S. and European restructuring actions began to show definite contributions to the segment's bottom line. Our Poland operation is now ideally situated to respond to conditions in the European market. The placement of our EMS operational footprint was validated this past year, as customer preference in supply relationships shifted in response to changing world economies.

The EMS segment experienced mixed results from various markets and sectors, although overall demand continued to stabilize throughout the year. The automotive market benefitted from relative strength in the U.S. while demand in Europe softened, as a result of the impacts of the European Debt crisis.

Sales to the industrial vertical market decreased, reflecting a lower demand for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) products. Despite uncertainties related to the potential tax policy costs and regulatory impacts of then-pending U.S. healthcare reform legislation, demand in the medical market remained stable, as did demand in the public safety vertical market.

The EMS segment has successfully dealt with "mega forces" at work in its global markets, amid a highly competitive marketplace with substantial excess production capacity. Kimball Electronics has demonstrated its unique package of value in serving customers as it continues winning both new customers and award programs from existing customers.

Furniture:

The Furniture segment saw an overall net sales increase of 9%, however, the segment experienced a decline in business volumes from the Federal and State government vertical markets, as these sectors responded to a combination of economic conditions, and revenue shortfalls impacted budgets and expenditures. Project business awards in contract office furniture continued to be hampered by an uncertain, choppy economy, with many deferred decisions or delayed schedules.

Projections by the Business and Institutional Furniture Manufacturer's Association (BIFMA) estimated a modest year-over-year increase in office furniture consumption for calendar year 2012. Both the Kimball Office and National office furniture brands again benefitted from strong brand awareness and market attention during the industry's premier annual trade show in Chicago. A strategic focus on select vertical markets, as well as targeting new business and professional services sectors, has yielded significant results.

The comparable indicator for the hospitality market, RevPAR, or Revenue Per Available Room, is estimated to increase 6% for calendar year 2012. A rise in occupancy rates helped spur refurbishments for at least a portion of an estimated 500,000 guestrooms in pent up demand. Strong recognition as the industry leader positions Kimball Hospitality well for future business opportunities as industry conditions improve.


With the results of the past year, we believe it is apparent that we are gaining traction. We have been successfully opportunistic in strengthening customer relationships, product portfolios, and internal processes. Our capabilities are in place and our people are poised to seek out and take advantage of new opportunities. We are absolutely committed to quality, reliability and service. The many actions we have taken over the past two years in support of our strategies position us well for the year ahead. The wild card is the economy.


The upside potential of our investments is significant. It should be increasingly apparent that we have the will and the strength to be opportunistic in the markets we serve and the ability to be the winner in those engagements.


To understand how Kimball International is positioned to make opportunities and poised to grow our business, we suggest that you spend time visiting our website at www.kimball.com.

For more detailed insights into the past year, we encourage you to read the following Form 10-K.




James C. Thyen,
President and Chief Executive Officer




Douglas A. Habig,
Chairman of the Board

Who We Are

Kimball International, Inc. is a preeminent manufacturer of furniture and electronic assemblies, serving customers around the world. Our customers, both large and small, receive our undivided attention, as we treat every one as the only one. Our touch is felt throughout daily life in both the workplace and in the home.

Recognized with a reputation for excellence, Kimball International is committed to a high performance culture that values personal and organizational commitment to quality, reliability, value, speed, and ethical behavior. Kimball employees know they are part of a corporate culture that builds success for customers while enabling employees to share in the Company's success through personal, professional, and financial growth.

What We Do

Kimball International, Inc. provides a variety of products from its two business segments: the Electronic Manufacturing Services segment and the Furniture segment. The Electronic Manufacturing Services segment provides engineering and manufacturing services which utilize common production and support capabilities to a variety of industries globally. The Furniture segment provides furniture for the office and hospitality industries sold under the Company's family of brand names.


Furniture	Manufacturing, Product Design, Marketing, Sales.
Kimball Office	Casegoods, Desks, Seating, Tables, Filing Cabinets, Book Cases, Office Systems, Accessories.
National	Casegoods, Desks, Seating, Tables, Dividers, Filing Cabinets, Book Cases, Accessories.
Kimball Hospitality	Bed Headboards, Desks, Tables, Dressers, Entertainment Centers, Chests, Wall Panels, Upholstered Seating, Task Seating, Cabinets and Vanities.
Electronic Manufacturing Services	Manufacturing, Design and Testing Services, Regulatory Support, Value-Added Services.
Medical	Diagnostic Imaging, Urinalysis Equipment, Hematology Equipment, Surgical Instruments, Defibrillators, Vital Signs Monitoring, Laboratory Measurement, Physical Therapy, Glucose Monitoring, Respiration Monitors, Home Health Care, Sleep Therapy Devices.
Automotive	Anti-Lock Braking, Stability Controls, Electronic Power Steering, Sensors, Telematics, Video Camera Systems, Compass and Navigation Systems, High Efficiency Electronic Ignition Systems, Electronic Window Lifts.
Industrial	HVAC Controls, Flow Metering Controls, Power Metering Controls, Portable Tool Chargers, Analytical Instrumentation, Motor Controllers, Semiconductor Manufacturing Equipment, Transportation Battery Chargers.
Public Safety	Emergency Personnel Communications, Material Identification Systems, Night Vision Systems, X-ray Systems, Surveillance Equipment, Fire Protection Equipment, Military Power Supply Units, Power Filters, Point of View Cameras.

Financial Highlights

(Amounts in thousands, except for per share data)


	2012	2011	% Change
Net Sales	\$1,142,061	\$1,202,597	-5.0%
Net Income	11,634	4,922	136.4%
Return on Capital	2.85%	1.21%	135.5%
Cash Flow from Operations	59,019	21,349	176.4%
Working Capital	190,950	178,011	7.3%
Capital Investments	28,266	33,210	-14.9%
Share Owners' Equity	386,228	387,399	-0.3%
Earnings Per Share (Diluted)			
Class A	0.29	0.12	141.7%
Class B	0.31	0.14	121.4%
Dividends Declared			
Class A	0.18	0.18	0.0%
Class B	0.20	0.20	0.0%
Market Price Per Share			
High	7.84	7.89	
Low	4.61	4.81	
Close	7.70	6.43	

2012 Sales By Business Segments



UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

(Mark One)



☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-3279



KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Indiana

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

1600 Royal Street, Jasper, Indiana

(Address of principal executive offices)

35-0514506

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

47549-1001

(Zip Code)

(812) 482-1600

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each Class

Class B Common Stock, par value \$0.05 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Class A Common Stock, par value \$0.05 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Class A Common Stock is not publicly traded and, therefore, no market value is available, but it is convertible on a one-for-one basis for Class B Common Stock. The aggregate market value of the Class B Common Stock held by non-affiliates, as of December 31, 2011 (the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was \$136.9 million, based on 96.7% of Class B Common Stock held by non-affiliates.

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock as of August 13, 2012 was:

Class A Common Stock - 10,112,494 shares

Class B Common Stock - 27,788,195 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Share Owners to be held on October 16, 2012, are incorporated by reference into Part III.

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

FORM 10-K INDEX

Page No.

PART I

Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	8
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	14
Item 2.	Properties	14
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	15
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	15
	Executive Officers of the Registrant	16

PART II

Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Share Owner Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	16
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	19
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	30
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	32
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	68
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	68
Item 9B.	Other Information	69

PART III

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	69
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	69
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Share Owner Matters	70
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	70
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	70

PART IV

Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	71
	SIGNATURES	72

PART I

Item 1 - Business

General

As used herein, the term "Company" refers to Kimball International, Inc., the Registrant, and its subsidiaries. Reference to a year relates to a fiscal year, ended June 30 of the year indicated, rather than a calendar year unless the context indicates otherwise. Additionally, references to the first, second, third, and fourth quarters refer to those respective quarters of the fiscal year indicated.

The Company was incorporated in Indiana in 1939. The corporate headquarters is located at 1600 Royal Street, Jasper, Indiana.

The Company provides a variety of products from its two business segments: the Electronic Manufacturing Services (EMS) segment and the Furniture segment. The EMS segment provides engineering and manufacturing services which utilize common production and support capabilities globally to the medical, automotive, industrial, and public safety industries. The Furniture segment provides furniture for the office and hospitality industries, sold under the Company's family of brand names. Production currently occurs in Company-owned or leased facilities located in the United States, Mexico, Thailand, China, and Poland. In the United States, the Company has facilities and showrooms in 11 states and the District of Columbia.

Sales by Segment

Sales by segment, after elimination of intersegment sales, for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	2012		2011		2010	
Electronic Manufacturing Services segment	\$ 616,751	54%	\$ 721,419	60%	\$ 709,133	63%
Furniture segment	525,310	46%	481,178	40%	413,611	37%
Unallocated Corporate	—	—%	—	—%	64	—%
Kimball International, Inc.	\$ 1,142,061	100%	\$ 1,202,597	100%	\$ 1,122,808	100%

Financial information by segment and geographic area for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012 is included in Note 14 - Segment and Geographic Area Information of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and is incorporated herein by reference.

Segments

Electronic Manufacturing Services

Overview

The Company began producing electronic assemblies, circuit boards, and wiring harnesses for electronic organs and keyboards in 1961 and has since grown and evolved with the EMS industry. The Company's current focus is on electronic assemblies that have high durability, quality, reliability, and regulatory compliance requirements primarily in medical, automotive, industrial, and public safety applications. The Company's business development managers work to build long-term relationships that create value for customers, suppliers, employees and Share Owners, and this quest is supported globally from locations in five countries through prototype, new product development and introduction, supply chain management, test development, complete system assembly, and repair services.

Electronics and electro-mechanical products (electronic assemblies) are sold globally on a contract basis and produced to customers' specifications. The Company's engineering and manufacturing services primarily entail:

- design support;
- new product launch;
- production and testing of printed circuit board assemblies (PCBAs);
- industrialization and automation of the manufacturing processes;
- product and process validation and qualification;
- testing of products under a series of harsh conditions;
- assembly and packaging of electronic and other related products; and
- complete product life cycle management.

Integrated throughout this segment is customer program management over the life cycle of the product along with supply chain management, which affords customers the opportunity to focus their attention and resources to sales, marketing, and product development as they sell their unique end products under their brand name into various markets and industries.

Sales revenue of the EMS segment is generally not affected by seasonality with the exception of the buying patterns of automotive industry customers whose purchases of the Company's product are generally lower in the first quarter of the Company's fiscal year.

Recent Business Changes

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company approved a plan to exit a 35,000 square foot leased assembly operation located in Fremont, California. Operations at this facility ceased during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012, and a majority of the business was transferred to an existing Jasper, Indiana EMS facility.

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company acquired privately-held Genesis Electronics Manufacturing of Tampa, Florida. The acquisition supported the Company's growth and diversification strategy, bringing new customers in the Company's key medical and industrial markets.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company approved a plan to expand its European automotive electronics capabilities and to establish a European Medical Center of Expertise near Poznan, Poland. As part of the plan, the Company consolidated its EMS facilities located in Wales, United Kingdom, and Poznan, Poland, into a new larger facility near Poznan, which is expected to improve the Company's margins in the very competitive EMS market. The plan was executed in stages and was completed during fiscal year 2012.

Additional information regarding the Company's restructuring activities is located in Note 17 - Restructuring Expense of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Locations

As of June 30, 2012, the Company's EMS segment consisted of six manufacturing facilities with one located in each of Indiana, Florida, Poland, China, Mexico, and Thailand. As discussed above, during fiscal year 2012, the Company completed the consolidations of the EMS facilities located in California and Wales, United Kingdom into other EMS segment facilities. The Company continually assesses under-utilized capacity and evaluates its operations as to the most optimum capacity and service levels by geographic region. Operations located outside of the United States continue to be an integral part of the Company's EMS segment. See Item 1A - Risk Factors for information regarding financial and operational risks related to the Company's international operations.

Marketing Channels

Manufacturing and engineering services are marketed by the Company's business development team. Contract electronic assemblies are manufactured based on specific orders, generally resulting in a small amount of finished goods consisting primarily of goods awaiting shipment to specific customers.

Major Competitive Factors

Key competitive factors in the EMS market include competitive pricing, quality and reliability, engineering design services, production flexibility, on-time delivery, customer lead time, test capability, and global presence. Growth in the EMS industry is created through the proliferation of electronic components in today's advanced products along with the continuing trend of original equipment manufacturers in the electronics industry to subcontract the assembly process to companies with a core competence in this area. The nature of the EMS industry is such that the start-up of new customers and new programs to replace expiring programs occurs frequently. New customer and program start-ups generally cause losses early in the life of a program, which are generally recovered as the program becomes established and matures. The segment continues to experience margin pressures related to an overall excess capacity position in the electronics subcontracting services market. The continuing success of this segment is dependent upon its ability to replace expiring customers/programs with new customers/programs.

The Company does not believe that it or the industry in general, has any special practices or special conditions affecting working capital items that are significant for understanding the EMS segment other than fluctuating inventory levels which may increase in conjunction with transfers of production among facilities and start-up of new programs.

Competitors

The EMS industry is very competitive as numerous manufacturers compete for business from existing and potential customers. The Company's competition includes EMS companies such as Benchmark Electronics, Inc., Jabil Circuit, Inc., and Plexus

Corp. The Company does not have a significant share of the EMS market and was ranked the 20th largest global EMS provider for calendar year 2011 by Manufacturing Market Insider in the March 2012 edition.

Raw Material Availability

Raw materials utilized in the manufacture of contract electronic products are generally readily available from both domestic and foreign sources, although from time to time the industry experiences shortages of certain components due to supply and demand forces, combined with rapid product life cycles of certain components. In addition, unforeseen events such as natural disasters can and have disrupted portions of the supply chain. The Company has minimized disruption in the supply chain by maintaining close communication with suppliers.

Raw materials are normally acquired for specific customer orders and may or may not be interchangeable among products. Inherent risks associated with rapid technological changes within this contract industry are mitigated by procuring raw materials, for the most part, based on firm orders. The Company may also purchase additional inventory to support new product introductions and transfers of production between manufacturing facilities.

Customer Concentration

While the total electronic assemblies market has broad applications, the Company's customers are concentrated in the medical, automotive, industrial, and public safety industries. Included in this segment prior to fiscal year 2012 were a significant amount of sales to Bayer AG affiliates which accounted for the following portions of consolidated net sales and EMS segment net sales:

	Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Bayer AG affiliated sales as a percent of consolidated net sales	—%	11%	15%
Bayer AG affiliated sales as a percent of EMS segment net sales	1%	19%	24%

As shown in the table above, the Company's sales to Bayer AG declined due to the expiration of the Company's primary manufacturing contract with this customer in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011. This contract accounted for a majority of the sales to Bayer AG during fiscal years 2011 and 2010. Margins on the Bayer AG product were generally lower than the Company's other EMS products. The nature of the contract business is such that start-up of new customers to replace expiring customers occurs frequently. The Company continues to focus on diversification of the EMS segment customer base.

Furniture

Overview

The Company has been in the furniture business since 1950. This segment's core markets include office furniture sold under the Kimball Office and National brand names and hospitality furniture sold under the Kimball Hospitality brand name. Throughout all of the brands, the Company offers unlimited possibilities for creating functional environments that convey just the right image for each unique setting. Kimball Office and National provide office furniture solutions for private offices, open floor plan areas, conference rooms, training rooms, lobby, and lounge areas with a vast mix of wood, metal, laminate, paint, and fabric options. Products include desks, credenzas, seating, tables, collaborative workstations, contemporary cubicle systems, filing and storage units, and accessories such as audio visual boards and task lighting. Kimball Office products tend to focus on the more complex customer solutions, and National products are geared more to the mid-market/less complex/lower cost aspect of the office furniture market. Kimball Hospitality provides in room and public space furniture solutions for hotel properties, condominiums, and mixed use developments. Products include headboards, desks, tables, dressers, entertainment centers, chests, wall panels, upholstered seating, task seating, cabinets, and vanities with a broad mix of wood, metal, stone, laminate, finish, and fabric options. Also included in this segment are the Company's trucking fleet and customer fulfillment centers, which handle primarily product of this segment; but certain logistics services, such as backhauls, are sold on a contract basis.

Sales revenue of the Furniture segment is generally not affected by seasonality with the exception of certain product lines which are impacted by the buying patterns of customers such as the U.S. federal government whose purchases of the Company's product are generally higher in the first half of the Company's fiscal year.

Recent Business Changes

A production facility in Virginia was opened during fiscal year 2011 to manufacture upholstered seating, headboards, and other products for the Company's custom, program, and catalog offerings for hospitality guest rooms and public spaces.

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company approved a restructuring plan to consolidate production of select

office furniture manufacturing departments. The consolidation was substantially completed during fiscal year 2009 with the remaining items completed during fiscal year 2010. The consolidation reduced manufacturing costs and excess capacity by eliminating redundant property and equipment, processes, and employee costs.

Locations

The Company's furniture products as of June 30, 2012 were primarily produced at eleven plants: seven located in Indiana, two in Kentucky, and one each in Idaho and Virginia. In addition, select finished goods are purchased from external sources. The Company continually assesses manufacturing capacity and has adjusted such capacity in recent years.

In addition, a facility in Indiana houses an education center for dealer and employee training, a research and development center, and a product showroom. Furniture showrooms are maintained in nine additional cities in the United States. Office space is leased in Dongguan, Guangdong, China, to facilitate sourcing of select finished goods and components from the Asia Pacific Region.

Marketing Channels

Kimball Office and National brands of office furniture are marketed through Company salespersons to end users, office furniture dealers, wholesalers, rental companies, and catalog houses throughout North America and on an international basis. Hospitality furniture is marketed to end users using independent manufacturers' representatives.

Major Competitive Factors

The Company's furniture is sold in the office furniture and hospitality furniture industries. These industries have similar major competitive factors which include price in relation to quality and appearance, the utility of the product, supplier lead time, reliability of on-time delivery, sustainability, and the ability to respond to requests for special and non-standard products. The Company offers payment terms similar to industry standards and in unique circumstances may grant alternate payment terms.

Certain industries are more price sensitive than others, but all expect on-time, damage-free delivery. The Company maintains sufficient finished goods inventories to be able to offer prompt shipment of certain lines of office furniture as well as most of the Company's own lines of hospitality furniture. The Company also produces hospitality furniture to customers' specifications and shipping timelines. Many office furniture products are shipped through the Company's delivery system, which the Company believes offers it the ability to reduce damage to product, enhance scheduling flexibility, and improve the capability for on-time deliveries.

The Company does not believe that it or the industry in general, has any special practices or special conditions affecting working capital items that are significant for understanding the Company's business. The Company does receive advance payments from customers on select furniture projects primarily in the hospitality industry.

Competitors

There are numerous manufacturers of office and hospitality furniture competing within the marketplace, with a significant number of competitors offering similar products. The Company believes, however, that there are a limited number of relatively large manufacturers of wood office furniture. In many instances wood office furniture competes in the market with nonwood office furniture. Based on available industry statistics, nonwood office furniture has a larger share of the total office furniture market.

The Company's competition includes furniture manufacturers such as Steelcase Inc., Herman Miller, Inc., Knoll, Inc., Haworth, Inc., and HNI Corporation and several other privately-owned furniture manufacturers.

Raw Material Availability

Certain components used in the production of furniture are manufactured internally within the segment and are generally readily available, as are other raw materials used in the production of wood and nonwood furniture. Certain fabricated seating components and wood frame assemblies as well as finished furniture products, which are generally readily available, are sourced on a global scale in an effort to provide quality products at the lowest total cost.

Other Information

Backlog

The aggregate sales price of production pursuant to worldwide open orders, which may be canceled by the customer, was as follows:

(Amounts in Millions)	June 30 2012	June 30 2011
EMS	\$ 170.6	\$ 165.1
Furniture	72.0	90.4
Total Backlog	<u>\$ 242.6</u>	<u>\$ 255.5</u>

Substantially all of the open orders as of June 30, 2012 are expected to be filled within the next fiscal year. Open orders of furniture products at June 30, 2012 are lower than the June 30, 2011 open orders primarily due to lower office furniture orders from the U.S. federal government and a large hospitality custom project received near the end of fiscal year 2011 which was included in the June 30, 2011 open orders. Open orders may not be indicative of future sales trends.

Research, Patents, and Trademarks

Research and development activities include the development of manufacturing processes, major process improvements, new product development and product redesign, information technology initiatives, and electronic and wood related technologies.

Research and development costs were approximately:

(Amounts in Millions)	Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Research and Development Costs	\$13	\$13	\$12

The Company owns the Kimball (registered trademark) trademark, which it believes is significant to the EMS and Furniture segments, and owns the following patents and trademarks which it believes are significant to the Furniture segment only:

Registered Trademarks: National. Furniture with Personality, Cetra, Traxx, Interworks, Xsite, Definition, Skye, WaveWorks, Senator, Prevail, Eloquence, Hum. Minds at Work, Pura, Fluent, and Aurora

Trademarks: President, IntegraClear, Exhibit, Priority, Villa, Wish, and Swift

Patents: Wish, Priority, Xsite, Exhibit, Villa, and Fluent (pending)

The Company also owns other patents and trademarks and has certain other trademark and patent applications pending, which in the Company's opinion are not significant to its business. Patents owned by the Company expire at various times depending on the patent's date of issuance.

Environment and Energy Matters

The Company's operations are subject to various foreign, federal, state, and local laws and regulations with respect to environmental matters. The Company believes that it is in substantial compliance with present laws and regulations and that there are no material liabilities related to such items.

The Company is dedicated to excellence, leadership, and stewardship in matters of protecting the environment and communities in which the Company has operations. Reinforcing the Company's commitment to the environment, six of the Company's showrooms and two non-manufacturing locations have been designed under the guidelines of the U.S. Green Building Council's LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) for Commercial Interiors program. The Company believes that continued compliance with foreign, federal, state, and local laws and regulations which have been enacted relating to the protection of the environment will not have a material effect on its capital expenditures, earnings, or competitive position. Management believes capital expenditures for environmental control equipment during the two fiscal years ending June 30, 2014, will not represent a material portion of total capital expenditures during those years.

The Company's manufacturing operations require significant amounts of energy, including natural gas and oil. Federal and state statutes and regulations control the allocation of fuels available to the Company, but to date the Company has experienced no interruption of production due to such regulations. In its wood processing plants, a portion of energy requirements are satisfied internally by the use of the Company's own wood waste products.

Employees

	June 30 2012	June 30 2011
United States	3,694	3,787
Foreign Countries	2,601	2,575
Total Full-Time Employees	<u>6,295</u>	<u>6,362</u>

All of the Company's foreign operations are subject to collective bargaining arrangements, many mandated by government regulation or customs of the particular countries. The Company believes that its employee relations are good.

Available Information

The Company makes available free of charge through its website, <http://www.ir.kimball.com>, its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). All reports the Company files with the SEC are also available via the SEC website, <http://www.sec.gov>, or may be read and copied at the SEC Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Company's Internet website and the information contained therein or incorporated therein are not intended to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Forward-Looking Statements

This document may contain certain forward-looking statements. These are statements made by management, using their best business judgment based upon facts known at the time of the statements or reasonable estimates, about future results, plans, or future performance and business of the Company. Such statements involve risk and uncertainty, and their ultimate validity is affected by a number of factors, both specific and general. They should not be construed as a guarantee that such results or events will, in fact, occur or be realized. The statements may be identified by the use of words such as "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "projects," "estimates," "forecasts," and similar expressions. It is not possible to foresee or identify all factors that could cause actual results to differ from expected or historical results. Additional information regarding risk factors is available in Item 1A - Risk Factors of this report. The Company makes no commitment to update these factors or to revise any forward-looking statements for events or circumstances occurring after the statement is issued, except as required in current and quarterly periodic reports filed with the SEC or otherwise by law.

At any time when the Company makes forward-looking statements, it desires to take advantage of the "safe harbor" which is afforded such statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 where factors could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements.

Item 1A - Risk Factors

The following important risk factors, among others, could affect future results and events, causing results and events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in forward-looking statements made in this report and presented elsewhere by management from time to time. Such factors, among others, may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations and should be carefully considered. It is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, any such list should not be considered to be a complete statement of all the Company's potential risks or uncertainties. Because of these and other factors, past performance should not be considered an indication of future performance.

Unfavorable macroeconomic and industry conditions could continue to adversely impact demand for the Company's products and adversely affect operating results. Market demand for the Company's products, which impacts revenues and gross profit, is influenced by a variety of economic and industry factors such as:

- general corporate profitability of the Company's end markets;
- credit availability to the Company's end markets;
- white-collar unemployment rates;
- commercial property vacancy rates;
- new office construction and refurbishment rates;
- deficit status of many governmental entities which may result in declining purchases of office furniture;

- new hotel and casino construction and refurbishment rates;
- automotive industry fluctuations;
- changes in the medical device industry;
- demand for end-user products which include electronic assembly components produced by the Company;
- excess capacity in the industries in which the Company competes; and
- changes in customer order patterns, including changes in product quantities, delays in orders, or cancellation of orders.

The Company must make decisions based on order volumes in order to achieve efficiency in manufacturing capacities. These decisions include determining what level of additional business to accept, production schedules, component procurement commitments, and personnel requirements, among various other considerations. The Company must constantly monitor the changing economic landscape and may modify its strategic direction based upon the changing business environment. If the Company does not react quickly enough to the changes in market or economic conditions, it could result in lost customers, decreased market share, and increased operating costs.

Market conditions have had and may continue to have an adverse impact on the Company's operating results. The risk of further deterioration in the United States economy is exacerbated by:

- general financial instability in the stressed European countries;
- uncertainties related to future U.S. tax rates; and
- delayed decisions regarding U.S. spending policies until after the November 2012 presidential election.

The Company's key strategies remain intact, but it must continue to adjust operations as needed to stay focused on its priorities and to align with the changing market conditions. The Company cannot predict the timing or the duration of any further downturn in the economy or the related effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

The Company is exposed to the credit risk of its customers. The current economic conditions and the state of the credit markets drive an elevated risk of potential bankruptcy of customers resulting in a greater risk of uncollectible outstanding accounts receivable. Accordingly, the Company intensely monitors its receivables and related credit risks. The realization of these risks could have a negative impact on the Company's profitability.

Reduction of purchases by or the loss of one or more key customers could reduce revenues and profitability. Losses of key contract customers within specific industries or significant volume reductions from key contract customers are both risks. If a current customer of the Company merges with or is acquired by a party that currently is aligned with a competitor, the Company could lose future revenues. The continuing success of the Company is dependent upon replacing expiring contract customers/programs with new customers/programs. The nature of the contract electronics manufacturing industry is such that the start-up of new customers and new programs to replace expiring programs occurs frequently, and new customer and program start-ups generally cause losses early in the life of a program. The Company can provide no assurance that it will be able to fully replace any lost sales, which could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. A reduction of government spending on furniture could also have an adverse impact on the Company's sales levels.

The Company operates in a highly competitive environment and may not be able to compete successfully. The Company faces pricing pressures in both of its segments, especially the EMS segment, as a result of intense competition from large EMS providers, emerging products, and over-capacity. Numerous manufacturers within the EMS industry compete globally for business from existing and potential customers. The office and hospitality furniture industries are also competitive due to numerous global manufacturers competing in the marketplace. In times of reduced demand for office furniture, large competitors may apply more pressure to their aligned distribution to sell their products exclusively which could lead to reduced opportunities for the Company's products. While the Company works toward reducing costs to respond to pricing pressures, if the Company cannot achieve the proportionate reductions in costs, profit margins may suffer. The high level of competition in these industries impacts the Company's ability to implement price increases or, in some cases, even maintain prices, which also could lower profit margins. In addition, as end markets dictate, the Company is continually assessing excess capacity and developing plans to better utilize manufacturing operations, including consolidating and shifting manufacturing capacity to lower cost venues as necessary.

The Company's future operating results depend on the ability to purchase a sufficient amount of materials, parts, and components at competitive prices. The Company depends on suppliers globally to provide timely delivery of materials, parts, and components for use in the Company's products. The financial stability of suppliers is monitored by the Company when feasible as the loss of a significant supplier could have an adverse impact on the Company's operations. Suppliers adjust their capacity as demand fluctuates, and component shortages and/or component allocations could occur. Certain finished products and components purchased by the Company are primarily manufactured in select regions of the world and issues in those regions could cause manufacturing delays. Maintaining strong relationships with key suppliers of components critical to the

manufacturing process is essential. Price increases of commodity components could have an adverse impact on profitability if the Company cannot offset such increases with other cost reductions or by price increases to customers. Materials utilized by the Company are generally available, but future availability is unknown and could impact the Company's ability to meet customer order requirements. If suppliers fail to meet commitments to the Company in terms of price, delivery, or quality, it could interrupt the Company's operations and negatively impact the Company's ability to meet commitments to customers.

The Company's operating results are impacted by the cost of fuel and other energy sources. The cost of energy is a critical component of freight expense and the cost of operating manufacturing facilities. Increases in the cost of energy could reduce profitability of the Company.

The Company could be impacted by manufacturing inefficiencies at certain locations. At times the Company may experience labor or other manufacturing inefficiencies due to factors such as new product introductions, transfers of production among the Company's manufacturing facilities, a sudden decline in sales, a new operating system, or turnover in personnel. Manufacturing inefficiencies could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

A change in the Company's sales mix among various products could have a negative impact on the gross profit margin. Changes in product sales mix could negatively impact the gross margin of the Company as margins of different products vary. The Company strives to improve the margins of all products, but certain products have lower margins in order to price the product competitively or in connection with the start-up of a new program. In addition, the EMS segment has historically operated at a lower gross profit percentage than the Furniture segment, and if the sales mix trends toward the EMS segment, the Company's consolidated gross profit margin will be negatively impacted. An increase in the proportion of sales of products with lower margins could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Future restructuring efforts by the Company may not be successful. The Company continually evaluates its manufacturing capabilities and capacities in relation to current and anticipated market conditions. If the Company implements further restructuring plans in the future, the successful execution of those restructuring initiatives will be dependent on various factors and may not be accomplished as quickly or effectively as anticipated.

Acquisitions by their nature may present risks to the Company. The Company's sales growth plans may occur through both organic growth and acquisitions. Acquisitions involve many risks, including:

- difficulties in identifying suitable acquisition candidates and in negotiating and consummating acquisitions on terms attractive to the Company;
- difficulties in the assimilation of the operations of the acquired company;
- the diversion of resources, including diverting management's attention from current operations;
- risks of entering new geographic or product markets in which the Company has limited or no direct prior experience;
- the potential loss of key customers of the acquired company;
- the potential loss of key employees of the acquired company;
- the potential incurrence of indebtedness to fund the acquisition;
- the potential issuance of common stock for some or all of the purchase price, which could dilute ownership interests of the Company's current shareholders;
- the acquired business not achieving anticipated revenues, earnings, cash flow, or market share;
- excess capacity;
- the assumption of undisclosed liabilities; and
- dilution of earnings.

Start-up operations could present risks to the Company's current operations. The Company is committed to growing its business, and therefore from time to time, the Company may determine that it would be in its best interests to start up a new operation. Start-up operations involve a number of risks and uncertainties, such as funding the capital expenditures related to the start-up operation, developing a management team for the new operation, diversion of management focus away from current operations, and creation of excess capacity. Any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

The Company's international operations involve financial and operational risks. The Company has operations outside the United States, primarily in China, Thailand, Poland, and Mexico. The Company's international operations are subject to a number of risks, which may include the following:

- economic and political instability;
- compliance with laws, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, applicable to U.S. companies doing business outside the United States;
- changes in foreign regulatory requirements and laws;

- tariffs and other trade barriers;
- potentially adverse tax consequences including the manner in which multinational companies are taxed in the U.S.; and
- foreign labor practices.

These risks could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. In addition, fluctuations in exchange rates could impact the Company's operating results. The Company's risk management strategy includes the use of derivative financial instruments to hedge certain foreign currency exposures. Any hedging techniques the Company implements contain risks and may not be entirely effective. Exchange rate fluctuations could also make the Company's products more expensive than competitor's products not subject to these fluctuations, which could adversely affect the Company's revenues and profitability in international markets.

If the Company's efforts to introduce new products are not successful, this could limit sales growth or cause sales to decline. The Furniture segment regularly introduces new products to keep pace with workplace trends and evolving regulatory and industry requirements, including environmental, health, and safety standards such as sustainability and ergonomic considerations, and similar standards for the workplace and for product performance. The introduction of new products requires the coordination of the design, manufacturing, and marketing of such products. The design and engineering of certain new products can take nine to eighteen months or more, and further time may be required to achieve customer acceptance. Accordingly, the launch of any particular product may be delayed or be less successful than originally anticipated by the Company. Difficulties or delays in introducing new products or lack of customer acceptance of new products could limit sales growth or cause sales to decline. The EMS segment depends on industries that utilize technologically advanced electronic components which often have short life cycles. The Company must continue to invest in advanced equipment and product development to remain competitive in this area.

If customers do not perceive the Company's products to be innovative and of high quality, the Company's brand and name recognition could suffer. The Company believes that establishing and maintaining brand and name recognition is critical to business. Promotion and enhancement of the Company's brands will depend on the effectiveness of marketing and advertising efforts and on successfully providing innovative and high quality products and superior services. If customers do not perceive its products and services to be innovative and of high quality, the Company's brand and name recognition could suffer, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business.

A loss of independent manufacturing representatives, dealers, or other sales channels could lead to a decline in sales of the Company's Furniture segment products. The Company's office furniture is marketed primarily through Company salespersons to end users, office furniture dealers, wholesalers, rental companies, and catalog houses. The Company's hospitality furniture is marketed to end users using independent manufacturing representatives. A significant loss within any of these sales channels could result in a sales decline and thus have an adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

The Company must effectively manage working capital. The Company closely monitors inventory and receivable efficiencies and continuously strives to improve these measures of working capital, but customer financial difficulties, cancellation or delay of customer orders, shifts in customer payment practices, transfers of production among the Company's manufacturing facilities, or Company manufacturing delays could cause deteriorating working capital trends.

The Company's assets could become impaired. As business conditions change, the Company must continually evaluate and work toward the optimum asset base. It is possible that certain assets such as, but not limited to, facilities, equipment, intangible assets, or goodwill could be impaired at some point in the future depending on changing business conditions. If assets of the Company become impaired the result could be an adverse impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

There are inherent uncertainties involved in estimates, judgments, and assumptions used in the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S. GAAP). Any changes in estimates, judgments, and assumptions could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The Company's financial statements filed with the SEC are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and the preparation of such financial statements includes making estimates, judgments, and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and related reserves, revenues, expenses, and income. Estimates are inherently subject to change in the future, and such changes could result in corresponding changes to the amounts of assets, liabilities, income, or expenses and likewise could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Changes in financial accounting standards may affect the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) is considering various proposed rule changes. The SEC is considering options for incorporating International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) into the U.S. financial reporting

system. The implementation of new accounting standards or changes to U.S. GAAP could adversely impact the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Fluctuations in the Company's effective tax rate could have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The mix of pre-tax income or loss among the tax jurisdictions in which the Company operates that have varying tax rates could impact the Company's effective tax rate. The Company is subject to income taxes as well as non-income based taxes, in both the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. Judgment is required in determining the worldwide provision for income taxes, other tax liabilities, interest, and penalties. Future events could change management's assessment. The Company operates within multiple taxing jurisdictions and is subject to tax audits in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues, which may require an extended period of time to resolve. The Company has also made assumptions about the realization of deferred tax assets. Changes in these assumptions could result in a valuation allowance for these assets. Final determination of tax audits or tax disputes may be different from what is currently reflected by the Company's income tax provisions and accruals.

A failure to comply with the financial covenants under the Company's \$100 million credit facility could adversely impact the Company. The Company's credit facility requires the Company to comply with certain financial covenants. The Company believes the most significant covenants under its credit facility are minimum net worth and interest coverage ratio. More detail on these financial covenants is discussed in Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had no short-term borrowings under its credit facilities and had total cash and cash equivalents of \$75.2 million. In the future, a default on the financial covenants under the Company's credit facility could cause an increase in the borrowing rates or could make it more difficult for the Company to secure future financing which could adversely affect the financial condition of the Company. In addition, the Company's credit facility expires in April 2013, and the new credit facility terms may be less favorable than the current terms.

A failure to successfully implement information technology solutions could adversely affect the Company. The Company's business depends on effective information technology systems. Information systems require an ongoing commitment of significant resources to maintain and enhance existing systems and develop new systems in order to keep pace with changes in information processing technology and evolving industry standards. Implementation delays or poor execution of information technology systems could disrupt the Company's operations and increase costs.

An inability to protect the Company's intellectual property could have a significant impact on business. The Company attempts to protect its intellectual property rights, both in the United States and in foreign countries, through a combination of patent, trademark, copyright, and trade secret laws, as well as licensing agreements and third-party non-disclosure and assignment agreements. Because of the differences in foreign laws concerning proprietary rights, the Company's intellectual property rights do not generally receive the same degree of protection in foreign countries as they do in the United States, and therefore in some parts of the world, the Company has limited protections, if any, for its intellectual property. Competing effectively depends, to a significant extent, on maintaining the proprietary nature of the Company's intellectual property. The degree of protection offered by the claims of the various patents and trademarks may not be broad enough to provide significant proprietary protection or competitive advantages to the Company, and patents or trademarks may not be issued on pending or contemplated applications. In addition, not all of the Company's products are covered by patents. It is also possible that the Company's patents and trademarks may be challenged, invalidated, canceled, narrowed, or circumvented.

A third party could claim that the Company has infringed on their intellectual property rights. The Company could be notified of a claim regarding intellectual property rights which could lead to the Company spending time and money to defend or address the claim. Even if the claim is without merit, it could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources.

The Company's insurance may not adequately protect the Company from liabilities related to product defects. The Company maintains product liability and other insurance coverage that the Company believes to be generally in accordance with industry practices. However, its insurance coverage may not be adequate to protect the Company fully against substantial claims and costs that may arise from liabilities related to product defects, particularly if the Company has a large number of defective products or if the root cause is disputed.

The Company's failure to maintain Food and Drug Administration (FDA) registration of one or more of its registered manufacturing facilities could negatively impact the Company's ability to produce products for its customers in the medical industry. To maintain FDA registration, the Company is subject to FDA audits of the manufacturing process. FDA audit failure could result in a partial or total suspension of production, fines, or criminal prosecution. Failure or noncompliance could have an adverse effect on the Company's reputation in addition to an adverse impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

The Company is subject to extensive environmental regulation and significant potential environmental liabilities. The past and present operation and ownership by the Company of manufacturing plants and real property are subject to extensive

and changing federal, state, local, and foreign environmental laws and regulations, including those relating to discharges in air, water, and land, the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous waste, the use of certain hazardous materials in the production of select EMS products, and the remediation of contamination associated with releases of hazardous substances. In addition, the increased prevalence of global climate issues may result in new regulations that may negatively impact the Company. The Company cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulations will be enacted in the future, how existing or future laws or regulations will be administered or interpreted or what environmental conditions may be found to exist. Compliance with more stringent laws or regulations, or stricter interpretation of existing laws, may require additional expenditures by the Company, some of which could be material. In addition, any investigations or remedial efforts relating to environmental matters could involve material costs or otherwise result in material liabilities.

The Company's failure to retain the existing management team; maintain its engineering, technical, and manufacturing process expertise; and continue to attract qualified personnel could adversely affect the Company's business. The success of the Company is dependent on keeping pace with technological advancements and adapting services to provide manufacturing capabilities which meet customers' changing needs. In addition, the Company must retain its qualified engineering and technical personnel and successfully anticipate and respond to technological changes in a cost effective and timely manner. The Company's culture and guiding principles focus on continuous training, motivating, and development of employees, and it strives to attract, motivate, and retain qualified personnel. Failure to retain and attract qualified personnel could adversely affect the Company's business.

Turnover in personnel could cause manufacturing inefficiencies. The demand for manufacturing labor in certain geographic areas makes retaining experienced production employees difficult. Turnover could result in additional training and inefficiencies that could impact the Company's operating results.

Natural disasters or other catastrophic events may impact the Company's production schedules and, in turn, negatively impact profitability. Natural disasters or other catastrophic events, including severe weather, terrorist attacks, power interruptions, and fires, could disrupt operations and likewise the ability to produce or deliver the Company's products. The Company's manufacturing operations require significant amounts of energy, including natural gas and oil, and governmental regulations may control the allocation of such fuels to the Company. Employees are an integral part of the Company's business and events such as a pandemic could reduce the availability of employees reporting for work. In the event the Company experiences a temporary or permanent interruption in its ability to produce or deliver product, revenues could be reduced, and business could be materially adversely affected. In addition, catastrophic events, or the threat thereof, can adversely affect U.S. and world economies, and could result in delayed or lost sales of the Company's products. In addition, any continuing disruption in the Company's computer system could adversely affect the ability to receive and process customer orders, manufacture products, and ship products on a timely basis, and could adversely affect relations with customers, potentially resulting in reduction in orders from customers or loss of customers. The Company maintains insurance to help protect the Company from costs relating to some of these matters, but such may not be sufficient or paid in a timely manner to the Company in the event of such an interruption.

The requirements of being a public company may strain the Company's resources and distract management. The Company is subject to the reporting requirements of federal securities laws, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Among other requirements, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that the Company maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. The Company has expended and expects to continue to expend management time and resources maintaining documentation and testing internal control over financial reporting. While management's evaluation as of June 30, 2012 resulted in the conclusion that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of that date, the Company cannot predict the outcome of testing in future periods. If the Company concludes in future periods that its internal control over financial reporting is not effective, or if its independent registered public accounting firm is not able to render the required attestations, it could result in lost investor confidence in the accuracy, reliability, and completeness of the Company's financial reports.

Imposition of government regulations may significantly increase the Company's operating costs in the United States. Legislative and regulatory reforms by the U.S. federal government could significantly impact the profitability of the Company by burdening it with forced cost choices that cannot be recovered by increased pricing.

- The United States healthcare reform legislation passed in 2010 and upheld by the Supreme Court in 2012 is likely to increase the Company's total healthcare costs which could have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, manufacturing facilities and employment in the U.S., or cash flows.
- International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) must be followed when producing defense related products for the U.S. government. A breach of these regulations could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

- The Company imports a portion of its wood furniture products and is thus subject to an antidumping tariff on wooden bedroom furniture supplied from China. The tariffs are subject to review and could result in retroactive and prospective tariff rate increases which could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

The value of the Company's common stock may experience substantial fluctuations for reasons over which the Company has little control. The value of common stock could fluctuate substantially based on a variety of factors, including, among others:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in operating results;
- announcements concerning the Company, competitors, or industry;
- overall volatility of the stock market;
- changes in the financial estimates of securities analysts or investors regarding the Company, the industry, or competitors; and
- general market or economic conditions.

Furthermore, stock prices for many companies fluctuate widely for reasons that may be unrelated to their operating results. These fluctuations, coupled with changes in results of operations and general economic, political, and market conditions, may adversely affect the value of the Company's common stock.

Item 1B - Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2 - Properties

The location and number of the Company's major manufacturing, warehousing, and service facilities, including the executive and administrative offices, as of June 30, 2012, are as follows:

	Number of Facilities			
	Electronic Manufacturing Services	Furniture	Unallocated Corporate	Total
North America				
Florida	1			1
Idaho		1		1
Indiana	1	13	4	18
Kentucky		2		2
Virginia		1		1
Mexico	1			1
Asia				
China	1	1		2
Thailand	1			1
Europe				
Poland	1			1
Total Facilities	<u>6</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>

The listed facilities occupy approximately 4,820,000 square feet in aggregate, of which approximately 4,733,000 square feet are owned and 87,000 square feet are leased. Square footage of these facilities is summarized by segment as follows:

Approximate Square Footage				
	Electronic Manufacturing Services	Furniture	Unallocated Corporate	Total
Owned	1,011,000	3,491,000	231,000	4,733,000
Leased.....	—	67,000	20,000	87,000
Total	1,011,000	3,558,000	251,000	4,820,000

During fiscal year 2012, the Company exited EMS segment facilities in California and the United Kingdom as previously announced restructuring consolidation plans were completed.

Included in Unallocated Corporate are executive, national sales and administrative offices, and a recycling facility.

Generally, properties are utilized at normal capacity levels on a multiple shift basis. At times, certain facilities utilize a reduced second or third shift. Due to sales fluctuations, not all facilities were utilized at normal capacity during fiscal year 2012.

Significant loss of income resulting from a facility catastrophe would be partially offset by business interruption insurance coverage.

Operating leases for all facilities and related land, including ten leased office furniture showroom facilities which are not included in the tables above, total 208,000 square feet and expire from fiscal year 2013 to 2056 with many of the leases subject to renewal options. The leased showroom facilities are in six states and the District of Columbia. See Note 4 - Commitments and Contingent Liabilities of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information concerning leases.

The Company owns approximately 500 acres of land which includes land where various Company facilities reside, including approximately 180 acres of land in the Kimball Industrial Park, Jasper, Indiana (a site for certain production and other facilities, and for possible future expansions).

Item 3 - Legal Proceedings

The Registrant and its subsidiaries are not parties to any pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business. The outcome of current routine pending litigation, individually and in the aggregate, is not expected to have a material adverse impact on the Company.

Item 4 - Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The executive officers of the Registrant as of August 27, 2012 are as follows:

(Age as of August 27, 2012)

Name	Age	Office and Area of Responsibility	Executive Officer Since
James C. Thyen	68	President, Chief Executive Officer, Director	1974
Douglas A. Habig	65	Chairman of the Board	1975
Robert F. Schneider	51	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	1992
Donald D. Charron	48	Executive Vice President, President-Kimball Electronics Group	1999
John H. Kahle	55	Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary	2004
Gary W. Schwartz	64	Executive Vice President, Chief Information Officer	2004
Donald W. Van Winkle	51	Vice President, President-Office Furniture Group	2010
Stanley C. Sapp	51	Vice President, President-Kimball Hospitality	2010
Michelle R. Schroeder	47	Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer	2003

Executive officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors. All of the executive officers unless otherwise noted have been employed by the Company for more than the past five years in the principal occupation shown or some other executive capacity. Donald W. Van Winkle was appointed to Vice President, President-Office Furniture Group in February 2010. He had previously served as Vice President, General Manager of National Office Furniture from October 2003 until February 2010, and prior to that served as Vice President, Chief Finance and Administrative Officer for the Furniture Brands Group as well as other key finance roles within the Furniture segment since joining the Company in January 1991. Stanley C. Sapp was appointed to Vice President, President-Kimball Hospitality in February 2010. He had previously served as Vice President and General Manager of Kimball Hospitality from February 2005 until February 2010, and prior to that served in other key roles within the Furniture segment since joining the Company in June 2002.

PART II

Item 5 - Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Share Owner Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Prices

The Company's Class B Common Stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market of The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC under the symbol: KBALB. High and low sales prices by quarter for the last two fiscal years as quoted by the NASDAQ system were as follows:

	2012		2011	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 6.92	\$ 4.61	\$ 6.50	\$ 4.81
Second Quarter	\$ 6.09	\$ 4.63	\$ 7.17	\$ 5.51
Third Quarter	\$ 7.19	\$ 5.15	\$ 7.73	\$ 6.09
Fourth Quarter	\$ 7.84	\$ 6.25	\$ 7.89	\$ 5.92

There is no established public trading market for the Company's Class A Common Stock. However, Class A shares are convertible on a one-for-one basis to Class B shares.

Dividends

There are no restrictions on the payment of dividends except charter provisions that require on a fiscal year basis, that shares of Class B Common Stock are entitled to \$0.02 per share dividend more than the annual dividends paid on Class A Common Stock, provided that dividends are paid on the Company's Class A Common Stock. Dividends declared totaled \$7.4 million for fiscal year 2012 and \$7.3 million for fiscal year 2011. Dividends per share declared by quarter for fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011 were as follows:

	2012		2011	
	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B
First Quarter	\$ 0.045	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.045	\$ 0.05
Second Quarter	0.045	0.05	0.045	0.05
Third Quarter	0.045	0.05	0.045	0.05
Fourth Quarter	0.045	0.05	0.045	0.05
Total Dividends	<u>\$ 0.180</u>	<u>\$ 0.20</u>	<u>\$ 0.180</u>	<u>\$ 0.20</u>

Share Owners

On August 13, 2012, the Company's Class A Common Stock was owned by 549 Share Owners of record, and the Company's Class B Common Stock was owned by 1,666 Share Owners of record, of which 292 also owned Class A Common Stock.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this item concerning securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference to Item 12 - Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Share Owner Matters of Part III.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

A share repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors was announced on October 16, 2007. The program allows for the repurchase of up to two million shares of any combination of Class A and Class B shares and will remain in effect until all shares authorized have been repurchased. The Company did not repurchase any shares under the repurchase program during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012. At June 30, 2012, two million shares remained available under the repurchase program.

Performance Graph

The following performance graph is not deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates it by reference into such a filing.

The graph below compares the cumulative total return to Share Owners of the Company's Class B Common Stock from June 30, 2007 through June 30, 2012, the last business day in the respective fiscal years, to the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Stock Market (U.S. and Foreign) and a peer group index for the same period of time. Due to the diversity of its operations, the Company is not aware of any public companies that are directly comparable to it. Therefore, the peer group index is comprised of publicly traded companies in both of the Company's segments, as follows:


EMS segment: Benchmark Electronics, Inc., Jabil Circuit, Inc., Plexus Corp.

Furniture segment: HNI Corporation, Knoll, Inc., Steelcase Inc., Herman Miller, Inc.

In order to reflect the segment allocation of Kimball International, Inc., a market capitalization-weighted index was first computed for each segment group, then a composite peer group index was calculated based on each segment's proportion of net sales to total consolidated sales for each fiscal year. The public companies included in the peer group have a larger revenue base than each of the Company's business segments.

The graph assumes \$100 is invested in the Company's stock and each of the two indexes at the closing market quotations on June 30, 2007 and that dividends are reinvested. The performances shown on the graph are not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

Comparison of Cumulative Five Year Total Return



	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Kimball International, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 62.73	\$ 49.79	\$ 45.26	\$ 54.26	\$ 67.20
NASDAQ Stock Market (U.S. & Foreign).	\$ 100.00	\$ 84.54	\$ 73.03	\$ 82.88	\$ 110.33	\$ 115.30
Peer Group Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 72.14	\$ 47.92	\$ 70.95	\$ 96.05	\$ 85.13

Item 6 - Selected Financial Data

This information should be read in conjunction with Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data and Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

(Amounts in Thousands, Except for Per Share Data)	Year Ended June 30				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net Sales	\$ 1,142,061	\$ 1,202,597	\$ 1,122,808	\$ 1,207,420	\$ 1,351,985
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 11,634	\$ 4,922	\$ 10,803	\$ 17,328	\$ 78
Earnings Per Share from Continuing Operations:					
Basic:					
Class A	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.46	\$ —
Class B	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.47	\$ —
Diluted:					
Class A	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.46	\$ —
Class B	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.47	\$ —
Total Assets	\$ 595,516	\$ 626,312	\$ 636,751	\$ 642,269	\$ 722,667
Long-Term Debt, Less Current Maturities. . . .	\$ 273	\$ 286	\$ 299	\$ 360	\$ 421
Cash Dividends Per Share:					
Class A.	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.62
Class B.	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.64

The income statement activity of discontinued operations in each of the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009 was zero. The preceding table excludes all income statement activity of discontinued operations in the year ended June 30, 2008.

Fiscal year 2012 income from continuing operations included \$2.1 million (\$0.06 per diluted share) of after-tax restructuring expenses.

Fiscal year 2011 income from continuing operations included \$0.6 million (\$0.01 per diluted share) of after-tax restructuring expenses.

Fiscal year 2010 income from continuing operations included \$1.2 million (\$0.03 per diluted share) of after-tax restructuring expenses, \$2.0 million (\$0.05 per diluted share) of after-tax income resulting from settlement proceeds related to an antitrust lawsuit of which the Company was a class member, and \$7.7 million (\$0.20 per diluted share) of after-tax income from the sale of the facility and land in Poland.

Fiscal year 2009 income from continuing operations included \$1.8 million (\$0.04 per diluted share) of after-tax restructuring expenses, \$9.1 million (\$0.24 per diluted share) of after-tax non-cash goodwill impairment, \$1.6 million (\$0.04 per diluted share) of after-tax income from earnest money deposits retained by the Company resulting from the termination of a contract to sell the Company's Poland facility and land, and \$18.9 million (\$0.51 per diluted share) of after-tax gains on the sale of undeveloped land holdings and timberlands.

Fiscal year 2008 income from continuing operations included \$14.6 million (\$0.39 per diluted share) of after-tax restructuring expenses and \$0.7 million (\$0.02 per diluted share) of after-tax income received as part of a Polish offset credit program for investments made in the Company's Poland operation.

Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**Business Overview**

Kimball International, Inc. provides a variety of products from its two business segments: the Electronic Manufacturing Services (EMS) segment and the Furniture segment. The EMS segment provides engineering and manufacturing services which utilize common production and support capabilities globally to the medical, automotive, industrial, and public safety industries. The Furniture segment provides furniture for the office and hospitality industries, sold under the Company's family of brand names.

Projections for calendar year 2012 (by IDC and IHS iSuppli in January 2012) reported a range of projected growth from flat to

4% in the EMS industry. In addition, the Semiconductor Industry Association (SIA) reported in January 2012 that semiconductor sales are projected to have low single-digit growth in calendar year 2012, and although the Company does not directly serve this market, it may be indicative of the end market demand for products utilizing electronic components. More recent EMS industry outlooks have not been widely published as there are no clear trends defining the industry this year as the various markets have mixed outlooks.

The Company focuses on the four key vertical markets of medical, automotive, industrial, and public safety in the EMS segment. This segment's overall demand continues to stabilize, but is mixed. The automotive end market is benefiting from relative strength in the U.S. market while demand in other geographies such as Europe is less certain due to the impact of the European debt crisis. The industrial market demand is improving but continues to reflect a lower demand for heating, cooling, and ventilation (HVAC) products than historical levels. Demand in the medical and public safety markets remains stable.

As of June 2012, the Business and Institutional Furniture Manufacturer Association (BIFMA) forecasted a year-over-year increase in the office furniture industry for calendar year 2012 of 5% with improved growth of 7% forecast for calendar year 2013. The hospitality furniture market forecasts (June 2012 reports by Smith Travel Research and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) project an approximate 2% increase in occupancy rates and an approximate 6% increase in revenue per available room (RevPAR) for calendar year 2012.

Competitive pricing pressures continue to burden the operating margins of select areas within both segments of the Company's operations.

The Company is committed to ensuring it sustains the cost efficiencies and process improvements undertaken during the recession. In addition, a long-standing component of the Company's profit sharing incentive bonus plan is that it is linked to the Company's worldwide, group, or business unit performance which adjusts compensation expense as profits change. The focus on cost control continues. At the same time, the Company plans to continue to invest in capital expenditures prudently for projects in support of both organic growth and potential acquisitions that would enhance the Company's capabilities and diversification while providing an opportunity for growth and improved profitability. The Company also continues to closely monitor market changes and its liquidity in order to proactively adjust its operating costs, discretionary capital spending, and dividend levels as needed. Managing working capital in conjunction with fluctuating demand levels is likewise key.

The Company continued to maintain a strong balance sheet as of the end of fiscal year 2012, which included minimal long-term debt of \$0.3 million and Share Owners' equity of \$386.2 million. The Company's short-term liquidity available, represented as cash and cash equivalents plus the unused amount of the Company's revolving credit facility, was \$170.9 million at June 30, 2012.

In addition to the above discussion related to the current market conditions, management currently considers the following events, trends, and uncertainties to be most important to understanding the Company's financial condition and operating performance:

- While certain sectors are showing signs of economic recovery, the macroeconomic environment remains volatile as a result of continued uncertainty related to the European debt crisis, the upcoming U.S. elections, and the potential tax increases and spending cuts looming at the end of calendar year 2012. The uncertainty tends to cause disruption in business strategy, execution, and timing in many of the markets in which the Company competes.
- The nature of the EMS industry is such that the start-up of new programs to replace departing customers or expiring programs occurs frequently. As previously announced, the Company's sales to Bayer AG began to decline in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011 as the Company's primary manufacturing contract with Bayer AG expired. Margins on the Bayer AG product were generally lower than the Company's other EMS products. The Company continues to manufacture other products for Bayer AG. The success of the Company's EMS segment is dependent on the successful replacement of such customers or programs. Such changes usually occur gradually over time as old programs phase out of production while newer programs ramp up. The transition to new programs may temporarily reduce sales and increase operating costs, resulting in a temporary decline in operating profit at the impacted business unit.
- Inflation has moderated and does not appear to be a significant risk in the near-term, but the Company continues to focus on mitigating the impact of raw material commodity pricing pressures.
- The healthcare reform legislation that was signed into law in March 2010 and upheld by the Supreme Court in June 2012 is expected to increase the Company's healthcare and related administrative expenses as the provisions of the law become effective over the next couple of years.
- Globalization continues to reshape not only the industries in which the Company operates but also its key customers and competitors.

- The Company's employees throughout its business operations are an integral part of the Company's ability to compete successfully, and the stability of its management team is critical to long-term Share Owner value. The Company's career development and succession planning processes help to maintain stability in management.

Certain preceding statements could be considered forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, a significant change in economic conditions, loss of key customers or suppliers, or similar unforeseen events.

Fiscal Year 2012 Results of Operations

Financial Overview - Consolidated

Fiscal year 2012 consolidated net sales were \$1.14 billion compared to fiscal year 2011 net sales of \$1.20 billion, a 5% decrease, resulting from a 15% net sales decrease in the EMS segment which more than offset a 9% net sales increase in the Furniture segment. Fiscal year 2012 net income was \$11.6 million, or \$0.31 per Class B diluted share, inclusive of \$2.1 million, or \$0.06 per Class B diluted share, of after-tax restructuring costs primarily related to the European consolidation plan. The Company recorded net income for fiscal year 2011 of \$4.9 million, or \$0.14 per Class B diluted share, inclusive of \$0.6 million, or \$0.01 per Class B diluted share, of after-tax restructuring costs primarily related to the European consolidation plan.

Consolidated gross profit as a percent of net sales improved to 18.4% for fiscal year 2012 from 16.2% in fiscal year 2011 due to margin improvement in both the EMS and Furniture segments coupled with a shift in sales mix (as depicted in the table below) toward the Furniture segment which operates at a higher gross profit percentage than the EMS segment. Gross profit is discussed in more detail in the segment discussions below.

Segment Net Sales as a % of Consolidated Net Sales

	Year Ended June 30	
	2012	2011
EMS segment	54%	60%
Furniture segment	46%	40%

Fiscal year 2012 consolidated selling and administrative expenses decreased 1.6% in absolute dollars, but increased as a percent of net sales, compared to fiscal year 2011, on decreased operating leverage due to lower revenue. The Company recorded \$3.1 million less expense within selling and administrative expenses in fiscal year 2012 than fiscal year 2011 related to the normal revaluation to fair value of the Company's Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan (SERP) liability. The revaluation of the SERP liability recorded in selling and administrative expenses is exactly offset by the revaluation of the SERP investment recorded in Other Income (Expense); therefore, there was no effect on net earnings. Employee contributions comprise approximately 90% of the SERP investment. Partially offsetting the lower SERP expense was an increase in incentive compensation expenses in fiscal year 2012 as compared to fiscal year 2011.

Fiscal year 2012 other expense totaled \$0.7 million compared to other income of \$2.0 million for fiscal year 2011. Other income (expense) consisted of the following:

Other Income (Expense)

	Year Ended June 30	
	2012	2011
(Amounts in Thousands)		
Interest Income	\$ 430	\$ 820
Interest Expense	(35)	(121)
Foreign Currency/Derivative Gain (Loss)	568	(1,208)
Gain (Loss) on Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan Investment	(3)	3,064
Impairment Loss on Privately-Held Investment	(715)	—
Impairment Loss on Convertible Debt Securities	—	(1,216)
Gain (Loss) on Stock Warrants	(526)	1,041
Other	(406)	(359)
Other Income (Expense), net	<u>\$ (687)</u>	<u>\$ 2,021</u>

The impairment loss on privately-held investment, the impairment loss on convertible debt securities, and the gain (loss) on stock warrants listed in the table above all relate to the Company's investment in one privately-held company. See the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information.

The fiscal year 2012 effective tax rate was 34.3%. The fiscal year 2011 effective tax rate was (10.9)% as relatively low pre-tax income coupled with the favorable impact of the Company's earnings mix and the research and development credit resulted in a tax benefit despite the Company's pre-tax income. The mix of earnings between U.S. and foreign jurisdictions largely contributed to the overall tax benefit due to losses in the U.S. which have a higher statutory tax rate than the Company's foreign operations which were profitable in fiscal year 2011. See Note 8 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Comparing the balance sheet as of June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2011, the decrease in accounts receivable was a result of the Company's lower sales levels and a shift in the payment practices of three large EMS segment customers during fiscal year 2012 which favorably impacted the Company's accounts receivable balance. A reduction in the Company's inventory balance was primarily the result of successful inventory reduction initiatives in both segments, and the Company's accounts payable balances declined in conjunction with the reduced inventory levels. The decreased accrued expenses balance was primarily driven by a decline in accrued compensation and a decline in accrued restructuring as the European consolidation plan was completed during fiscal year 2012.

Electronic Manufacturing Services Segment

EMS segment results follow:

(Amounts in Millions)	At or For the Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	% Change
Net Sales	\$ 616.8	\$ 721.4	(15)%
Operating Income	\$ 8.9	\$ 5.5	62 %
Operating Income %	1.4%	0.8%	
Net Income	\$ 6.6	\$ 4.1	62 %
Restructuring Expense, net of tax	\$ 1.7	\$ 0.5	
Open Orders	\$ 170.6	\$ 165.1	3 %

Fiscal year 2012 EMS segment net sales to customers in the medical, industrial, and public safety industries decreased compared to fiscal year 2011 which more than offset an increase in net sales to customers in the automotive industry. The decline in net sales to the medical industry was attributable to the expiration of a contract with one medical customer (Bayer AG) late in fiscal year 2011 which accounted for a \$130.7 million decline in net sales in fiscal year 2012. Excluding this customer, net sales to the medical industry, as well as the overall EMS segment net sales, increased in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011. Open orders as of June 30, 2012 were up 3% compared to June 30, 2011. However, open orders at a point in time may not be indicative of future sales trends due to the contract nature of the Company's business.

Fiscal year 2012 EMS segment gross profit as a percent of net sales improved 1.4 percentage points when compared to fiscal year 2011. The improvement was primarily driven by the benefit from a sales mix shift toward higher margin product and benefits realized related to restructuring activities in which two facilities were closed during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012.

EMS segment selling and administrative expenses in absolute dollars decreased 11% in fiscal year 2012 as compared to fiscal year 2011, but increased as a percent of net sales on the lower sales volumes. The selling and administrative expenses declined primarily due to benefits realized from restructuring activities within this segment.

The previously announced exit of the Company's small assembly facility located in Fremont, California was completed during fiscal year 2012 along with the associated move of a majority of that business to the Jasper, Indiana facility. In addition, the previously announced consolidation of the Company's European EMS facilities was likewise completed during fiscal year 2012. See Note 17 - Restructuring Expense of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on restructuring charges.

EMS segment Other Income/Expense for fiscal year 2012 totaled expense of \$0.3 million, compared to expense of \$1.9 million in fiscal year 2011. The variance in Other Income/Expense was primarily related to net foreign currency exchange movement.

Included in this segment were a significant amount of sales to Bayer AG affiliates in the prior fiscal year which accounted for the following portions of consolidated net sales and EMS segment net sales:

	Year Ended June 30	
	2012	2011
Bayer AG affiliated sales as a percent of consolidated net sales	—%	11%
Bayer AG affiliated sales as a percent of EMS segment net sales	1%	19%

The Company's sales to Bayer AG declined due to the expiration of the Company's primary manufacturing contract with this customer. This contract accounted for a majority of the sales to Bayer AG during fiscal year 2011. Margins on the Bayer AG product were generally lower than the Company's other EMS products. The nature of the electronic manufacturing services industry is such that the start-up of new customers and new programs to replace expiring programs occurs frequently. New customer and program start-ups generally cause losses early in the life of a program, which are generally recovered as the program becomes established and matures. This segment continues to experience margin pressures related to an overall excess capacity position in the electronics subcontracting services market.

Risk factors within the EMS segment include, but are not limited to, general economic and market conditions, customer order delays, increased globalization, foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, rapid technological changes, component availability, supplier stability, the contract nature of this industry, the concentration of sales to large customers, and the potential for customers to choose a dual sourcing strategy or to in-source a greater portion of their electronics manufacturing. The continuing success of this segment is dependent upon its ability to replace expiring customers/programs with new customers/programs. Additional risk factors that could have an effect on the Company's performance are located within Item 1A - Risk Factors.

Furniture Segment

Furniture segment results follow:

	At or For the Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	% Change
(Amounts in Millions)			
Net Sales	\$ 525.3	\$ 481.2	9 %
Operating Income	\$ 11.9	\$ 1.1	1,003 %
Operating Income %	2.3%	0.2%	
Net Income	\$ 7.0	\$ 0.5	1,374 %
Open Orders.	\$ 72.0	\$ 90.4	(20)%

The fiscal year 2012 net sales increase in the Furniture segment compared to fiscal year 2011 resulted primarily from increased net sales of hospitality furniture and to a lesser extent from increased net sales of office furniture. The increase in net sales of hospitality furniture was driven by large custom projects during fiscal year 2012. The increase in office furniture net sales was due to the positive impact of price increases net of incremental discounting which more than offset a decrease in sales volume. Fiscal year 2012 sales of newly introduced office furniture products which have been sold for less than twelve months approximated \$13.5 million. Open orders of furniture products at June 30, 2012 decreased 20% from the orders open as of June 30, 2011 primarily due to lower office furniture orders from the U.S. federal government and a large hospitality custom project received near the end of fiscal year 2011 which was included in the June 30, 2011 open orders. Open orders at a point in time may not be indicative of future sales trends.

Fiscal year 2012 Furniture segment gross profit as a percent of net sales improved 0.7 percentage points when compared to fiscal year 2011. Fiscal year 2012 gross profit as a percent of net sales was favorably impacted by sales price increases net of incremental discounting, by a recovery of previously paid import duties related to a retroactive change in a tariff rate, and by the favorable impact resulting from a decrease in the LIFO inventory reserve. The improvement in fiscal year 2012 gross profit as a percent of net sales was partially offset by commodity cost increases, higher freight and fuel costs, and the impact of excess operating capacity at select locations.

Fiscal year 2012 selling and administrative expenses increased in absolute dollars by 3.9%, but decreased as a percent of net sales on the higher sales volumes, when compared to fiscal year 2011. The selling and administrative expenses were impacted by higher salary expenses, higher incentive compensation costs, and increased travel expenses.

Risk factors within this segment include, but are not limited to, general economic and market conditions, increased global competition, financial stability of customers, supply chain cost pressures, and relationships with strategic customers and product distributors. Additional risk factors that could have an effect on the Company's performance are located within Item 1A - Risk Factors.

Fiscal Year 2011 Results of Operations

Financial Overview - Consolidated

Fiscal year 2011 consolidated net sales were \$1.20 billion compared to fiscal year 2010 net sales of \$1.12 billion, a 7% increase, resulting from a 16% net sales increase in the Furniture segment and a 2% net sales increase in the EMS segment. Fiscal year 2011 net income was \$4.9 million, or \$0.14 per Class B diluted share, inclusive of \$0.6 million, or \$0.01 per Class B diluted share, of after-tax restructuring costs primarily related to the European consolidation plan. The Company recorded net income for fiscal year 2010 of \$10.8 million, or \$0.29 per Class B diluted share, inclusive of \$1.2 million, or \$0.03 per Class B diluted share, of after-tax restructuring costs primarily related to the European consolidation plan. The fiscal year 2010 results also included the following items: a \$7.7 million after-tax gain, or \$0.20 per Class B diluted share, related to the sale of a facility and land in Poland, and \$2.0 million of after-tax income, or \$0.05 per Class B diluted share, resulting from settlement proceeds related to an antitrust class action lawsuit of which the Company was a class member.

Consolidated gross profit as a percent of net sales improved to 16.2% for fiscal year 2011 from 15.7% in fiscal year 2010 primarily due to a shift in sales mix (as depicted in the table below) toward the Furniture segment which operates at a higher gross profit percentage than the EMS segment. Gross profit is discussed in more detail in the segment discussions below.

Segment Net Sales as a % of Consolidated Net Sales

	Year Ended June 30	
	2011	2010
EMS segment	60%	63%
Furniture segment	40%	37%

Fiscal year 2011 consolidated selling and administrative expenses increased 5.2% in absolute dollars, but decreased as a percent of net sales, compared to fiscal year 2010, on increased operating leverage as a result of the increase in revenue. The increase in absolute dollars was primarily due to higher commissions in the Furniture segment resulting from the higher sales volumes and higher labor costs which were partially offset by lower severance expense. In addition, the Company recorded \$3.1 million of expense within selling and administrative expenses due to an increase in its SERP liability resulting from the normal revaluation of the liability to fair value during fiscal year 2011 compared to \$1.5 million of expense which was recorded in fiscal year 2010. The value of the SERP investments increased causing additional selling and administrative expense related to the SERP liability. The SERP expense recorded in selling and administrative expenses was exactly offset by an increase in SERP investment income which was recorded in Other Income (Expense) as an investment gain; therefore, there was no effect on net earnings.

The Company recorded no Other General Income during fiscal year 2011. Other General Income in fiscal year 2010 included \$6.7 million pre-tax gain recorded in the EMS segment related to the sale of the Company's land and facility that housed its Poland operation before moving to another facility in Poland. In addition, fiscal year 2010 Other General Income included \$3.3 million of pre-tax income also recorded in the EMS segment resulting from settlement proceeds related to the antitrust class action lawsuit of which the Company was a class member.

Other Income (Expense) included other income of \$2.0 million for fiscal year 2011 compared to other income of \$3.3 million for fiscal year 2010. The variance in other income was driven by unfavorable foreign exchange movement that impacted the EMS segment and a \$1.2 million impairment loss related to the valuation of convertible notes which were partially offset by the increased SERP investment income mentioned above and a revaluation of stock warrants resulting in a gain of \$1.0 million.

The fiscal year 2011 effective tax rate was (10.9)% as relatively low pre-tax income coupled with the favorable impact of the Company's earnings mix and the research and development credit resulted in a tax benefit despite the Company's pre-tax income. The mix of earnings between U.S. and foreign jurisdictions largely contributed to the overall tax benefit due to losses in the U.S. which have a higher statutory tax rate than the Company's foreign operations which were profitable in fiscal year 2011. The fiscal year 2010 effective tax rate was (81.0)% as relatively low pre-tax income coupled with a tax benefit due to the Company's tax planning strategy related to the sale of its Poland facility and land and the favorable impact of the Company's earnings mix resulted in a tax benefit in fiscal year 2010 despite the Company's pre-tax income. See Note 8 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Electronic Manufacturing Services Segment

EMS segment results follow:

(Amounts in Millions)	At or For the Year Ended June 30		
	2011	2010	% Change
Net Sales	\$ 721.4	\$ 709.1	2 %
Operating Income	\$ 5.5	\$ 15.3	(64)%
Net Income	\$ 4.1	\$ 15.7	(74)%
Poland Land/Facility Gain, net of tax	\$ —	\$ 7.7	
Restructuring Expense, net of tax	\$ 0.5	\$ 1.2	
Open Orders	\$ 165.1	\$ 199.1	(17)%

Fiscal year 2011 EMS segment net sales to customers in the medical, industrial, and public safety industries increased compared to fiscal year 2010 which more than offset a decrease in net sales to customers in the automotive industry. Open orders were down 17% as of June 30, 2011 compared to June 30, 2010 primarily due to lower orders from Bayer AG.

Fiscal year 2011 EMS segment gross profit as a percent of net sales improved 0.2 percentage points when compared to fiscal year 2010. The improvement was primarily driven by the benefit from a sales mix shift toward higher margin product, lower depreciation expense, and improved labor efficiencies at select units which more than offset inefficiencies related to the European restructuring activities and higher component costs related to the rapid ramp up of new customer programs.

EMS segment selling and administrative expenses in absolute dollars increased 7% in fiscal year 2011 as compared to fiscal year 2010 and also increased as a percent of net sales primarily due to increased salaries and employee benefit costs.

The pre-tax restructuring charges recorded during fiscal year 2011 totaled \$0.9 million. See Note 17 - Restructuring Expense of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on restructuring charges. The restructuring expenses recorded in fiscal year 2010 were primarily related to the European consolidation plan.

The EMS segment recorded no Other General Income during fiscal year 2011. EMS segment Other General Income for fiscal year 2010 included a \$6.7 million pre-tax gain from the sale of the existing Poland facility and land. Including the tax benefit related to the sale of this facility and land, the after-tax gain was \$7.7 million. In addition, Other General Income in fiscal year 2010 included \$3.3 million of pre-tax income, or \$2.0 million after-tax, resulting from settlement proceeds related to the antitrust class action lawsuit.

EMS segment Other Income/Expense for fiscal year 2011 totaled expense of \$1.9 million, compared to income of \$0.1 million in fiscal year 2010. The variance in Other Income/Expense was primarily related to unfavorable foreign currency exchange movement in fiscal year 2011.

As a percent of net sales, operating income was 0.8% for fiscal year 2011 and 2.2% for fiscal year 2010. Fiscal year 2010 operating income included the gain on the sale of the Poland facility and land and also included the settlement from the class action lawsuit.

The EMS segment fiscal year 2011 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by the earnings mix between U.S. and foreign jurisdictions. During fiscal year 2010, the EMS segment recorded \$1.0 million of tax income related to the sale of the facility and land in Poland instead of tax expense normally associated with a gain, resulting from a tax planning strategy. The fiscal year 2010 EMS segment income tax was also favorably impacted by the mix of earnings between U.S. and foreign EMS operations.

Included in this segment are a significant amount of sales to Bayer AG affiliates which accounted for the following portions of consolidated net sales and EMS segment net sales:

	Year Ended June 30	
	2011	2010
Bayer AG affiliated sales as a percent of consolidated net sales	11%	15%
Bayer AG affiliated sales as a percent of EMS segment net sales	19%	24%

The Company's sales to Bayer AG began to decline in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011 due to the expiration of the Company's primary manufacturing contract with Bayer AG. This contract accounted for a majority of the sales to Bayer AG during fiscal years 2011 and 2010.

Furniture Segment

Furniture segment results follow:

(Amounts in Millions)	At or For the Year Ended June 30		
	2011	2010	% Change
Net Sales	\$ 481.2	\$ 413.6	16%
Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 1.1	\$ (9.4)	111%
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 0.5	\$ (5.8)	108%
Open Orders	\$ 90.4	\$ 70.6	28%

The fiscal year 2011 net sales increase in the Furniture segment compared to fiscal year 2010 resulted primarily from increased net sales of office furniture and to a lesser extent from increased net sales of hospitality furniture. The increase in office furniture sales was the result of higher sales volumes which were partially offset by higher discounting net of price increases. Fiscal year 2011 sales of newly introduced office furniture products which have been sold for less than twelve months approximated \$17.1 million. Open orders of furniture products at June 30, 2011 increased 28% from the orders open as of June 30, 2010 as open orders for both office furniture and hospitality furniture increased.

Fiscal year 2011 Furniture segment gross profit as a percent of net sales declined 0.7 percentage points when compared to fiscal year 2010. Items contributing to the decline included increased discounting resulting from competitive pricing pressures and inflationary commodity cost increases. The gross profit decline was partially offset by price increases on select product and the increased operating leverage of the higher sales volumes.

Fiscal year 2011 selling and administrative expenses increased in absolute dollars by 4.1%, but decreased as a percent of net sales on the higher sales volumes, when compared to fiscal year 2010. The selling and administrative expenses were impacted by higher commissions resulting from the higher net sales, higher profit-based incentive compensation costs, and higher costs associated with sales and marketing initiatives to drive growth, which were partially offset by lower severance expense.

As a percent of net sales, operating income (loss) was 0.2% for fiscal year 2011 and (2.3)% for fiscal year 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Working capital at June 30, 2012 was \$191.0 million compared to working capital of \$178.0 million at June 30, 2011. The current ratio was 2.0 at June 30, 2012 and 1.8 at June 30, 2011.

The Company's internal measure of accounts receivable performance, also referred to as Days Sales Outstanding (DSO), for fiscal year 2012 of 45.7 days improved compared to the 48.5 days for fiscal year 2011. The Company defines DSO as the average of monthly accounts and notes receivable divided by an average day's net sales. The Company's Production Days Supply on Hand (PDSOH) of inventory measure for fiscal year 2012 declined to 58.9 days from 64.4 days for fiscal year 2011. The improved PDSOH compared to the prior fiscal year corresponds with successful inventory reduction initiatives in both segments during the current fiscal year. The Company defines PDSOH as the average of the monthly gross inventory divided by an average day's cost of sales.

The Company's short-term liquidity available, represented as cash and cash equivalents plus the unused amount of the Company's revolving credit facility, totaled \$170.9 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$146.2 million at June 30, 2011.

The Company's cash and cash equivalents position improved to \$75.2 million at June 30, 2012 from \$51.4 million at June 30, 2011. The Company had no short-term borrowings outstanding as of June 30, 2012 or June 30, 2011. Operating activities generated \$59.0 million of cash flow in fiscal year 2012 compared to the \$21.3 million of cash generated by operating activities in fiscal year 2011. A shift in the payment practices of three large EMS segment customers during fiscal year 2012 favorably impacted cash flow by approximately \$12.6 million and reduced DSO by one day. During fiscal year 2012, the Company reinvested \$28.3 million into capital investments for the future, largely for manufacturing equipment within both segments. The Company also paid \$7.4 million of dividends in fiscal year 2012. Consistent with the Company's historical dividend policy, the Company's Board of Directors will evaluate the appropriate dividend payment on a quarterly basis. During fiscal

year 2013, the Company expects to continue to invest in capital expenditures prudently, particularly for projects including potential acquisitions that would enhance the Company's capabilities and diversification while providing an opportunity for growth and improved profitability.

At June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, the Company had no short-term borrowings outstanding under its \$100 million credit facility described in more detail below. The Company also has several smaller foreign credit facilities available described in more detail below and likewise had no borrowings outstanding under these facilities as of June 30, 2012 or June 30, 2011.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had \$4.3 million contingently committed in letters of credit against the \$100 million credit facility. Total availability to borrow under the \$100 million credit facility was \$95.7 million at June 30, 2012.

The Company maintains the \$100 million credit facility with an expiration date in April 2013 that allows for both issuances of letters of credit and cash borrowings. The \$100 million credit facility provides an option to increase the amount available for borrowing to \$150 million at the Company's request, subject to the consent of the participating banks. The \$100 million credit facility, upon which there were no borrowings at June 30, 2012, requires the Company to comply with certain debt covenants, the most significant of which are the interest coverage ratio and minimum net worth. The Company was in compliance with the debt covenants during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

The table below compares the actual net worth and interest coverage ratio with the limits specified in the credit agreement.

Covenant	At or For the Period Ended June 30, 2012	Limit As Specified in Credit Agreement	Excess
Minimum Net Worth	\$386,228,000	\$362,000,000	\$24,228,000
Interest Coverage Ratio	604.4	3.0	601.4

The Interest Coverage Ratio is calculated on a rolling four-quarter basis as defined in the credit agreement.

In addition to the \$100 million credit facility, the Company can opt to utilize foreign credit facilities which are available to satisfy short-term cash needs at a specific foreign location rather than funding from intercompany sources. The Company maintains a foreign credit facility for its EMS segment operation in Thailand which is backed by the \$100 million revolving credit facility. The Company has a credit facility for its EMS segment operation in Poland, which allows for multi-currency borrowings up to 6.0 million Euro equivalent (approximately \$7.6 million U.S. dollars at June 30, 2012 exchange rates). These foreign credit facilities can be canceled at any time by either the bank or the Company.

The Company believes its principal sources of liquidity from available funds on hand, cash generated from operations, and the availability of borrowing under the Company's credit facilities will be sufficient for fiscal year 2013 and the foreseeable future. One of the Company's sources of funds is its ability to generate cash from operations to meet its liquidity obligations which could be adversely affected in the future by factors such as general economic and market conditions, lack of availability of raw material components in the supply chain, a decline in demand for the Company's products, loss of key contract customers, the ability of the Company to generate profits, and other unforeseen circumstances. In particular, should demand for the Company's products decrease significantly over the next 12 months, the available cash provided by operations could be adversely impacted. Another source of funds is the Company's credit facilities. The Company expects to renew or negotiate a new credit facility to replace the current \$100 million credit facility prior to its April 2013 expiration. However, a new or negotiated renewal of the credit facility may be less favorable in terms of borrowing costs than the current facility due to the impact that the current economic conditions have had on borrowing in general. In addition, changing conditions in the credit markets, prohibitive costs, or other unforeseen circumstances could adversely impact the renewal or replacement of this facility. During fiscal year 2012 there were no borrowings on the credit facility, and costs related to the credit facility were not significant.

The preceding statements include forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Certain factors could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements.

Fair Value

During fiscal year 2012, no level 1 or level 2 financial instruments were affected by a lack of market liquidity. For level 1 financial assets, readily available market pricing was used to value the financial instruments. The Company's foreign currency derivatives, which were classified as level 2 assets/liabilities, were independently valued using observable market inputs such as forward interest rate yield curves, current spot rates, and time value calculations. To verify the reasonableness of the independently determined fair values, these derivative fair values were compared to fair values calculated by the counterparty banks. The Company's own credit risk and counterparty credit risk had an immaterial impact on the valuation of the foreign currency derivatives.

During fiscal year 2010, the Company purchased convertible debt securities of \$2.3 million and stock warrants of \$0.4 million of a privately-held company. During fiscal year 2011, the convertible debt securities experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair market value resulting in a \$1.2 million impairment loss and, upon a qualified financing, were subsequently converted to non-marketable equity securities. Also during fiscal year 2011, the revaluation of stock warrants resulted in a \$1.0 million derivative gain as a result of the qualified financing. During fiscal year 2012, the privately-held company experienced delays in their start-up, and therefore initiated another round of financing that the Company chose not to participate in, which resulted in the automatic conversion of preferred shares and warrants to common shares and warrants. Upon the conversion, the equity securities and warrants were revalued, resulting in an impairment loss of \$0.7 million on the equity securities and a \$0.5 million derivative loss on the stock warrants during fiscal year 2012.

The investment in non-marketable equity securities is accounted for as a cost-method investment which carries the securities at cost. In the event of impairment, the valuation uses a probability-weighted Black-Scholes option pricing model. The stock warrants are classified as derivative instruments and are valued on a recurring basis using a market-based approach which utilizes a probability-weighted Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value measurements for stock warrants and the impairment of non-marketable equity securities were calculated using unobservable inputs and were classified as level 3 financial assets.

See Note 10 - Fair Value of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the Company's contractual obligations as of June 30, 2012.

(Amounts in Millions)	Payments Due During Fiscal Years Ending June 30				
	Total	2013	2014-2015	2016-2017	Thereafter
Recorded Contractual Obligations: ^(a)					
Long-Term Debt Obligations ^(b)	\$ 0.3	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.2
Other Long-Term Liabilities Reflected on the Balance Sheet ^{(c)(d)(e)}	25.2	8.1	3.1	3.0	11.0
Unrecorded Contractual Obligations:					
Operating Leases ^(e)	9.5	3.5	4.2	1.3	0.5
Purchase Obligations ^(f)	198.3	181.5	11.1	5.7	—
Other	0.2	—	0.1	—	0.1
Total	<u>\$ 233.5</u>	<u>\$ 193.1</u>	<u>\$ 18.5</u>	<u>\$ 10.1</u>	<u>\$ 11.8</u>

(a) As of June 30, 2012, the Company had no Capital Lease Obligations.

(b) Refer to Note 5 - Long-Term Debt and Credit Facility of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding Long-Term Debt Obligations. Accrued interest is also included on the Long-Term Debt Obligations line. The fiscal year 2013 amount includes less than \$0.1 million of long-term debt obligations due in fiscal year 2013 which was recorded as a current liability. The estimated interest not yet accrued related to debt is included in the Other line item within the Unrecorded Contractual Obligations.

(c) The timing of payments of certain items included on the "Other Long-Term Liabilities Reflected on the Balance Sheet" line above is estimated based on the following assumptions:

- The timing of SERP payments is estimated based on an assumed retirement age of 62 with payout based on the prior distribution elections of participants. The fiscal year 2013 amount includes \$5.9 million for SERP payments recorded as current liabilities.
- The timing of severance plan payments is estimated based on the average remaining service life of employees. The fiscal year 2013 amount includes \$0.8 million for severance payments recorded as a current liability.
- The timing of warranty payments is estimated based on historical data. The fiscal year 2013 amount includes \$1.4 million for short-term warranty payments recorded as a current liability.

(d) Excludes \$4.2 million of long-term unrecognized tax benefits and associated accrued interest and penalties along with deferred tax liabilities and miscellaneous other long-term tax liabilities which are not tied to a contractual obligation and for which the Company cannot make a reasonably reliable estimate of the period of future payments.

- (e) Refer to Note 4 - Commitments and Contingent Liabilities of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding Operating Leases and certain Other Long-Term Liabilities.
- (f) Purchase Obligations are defined as agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding and that specify all significant terms. The amounts listed above for purchase obligations include contractual commitments for items such as raw materials, supplies, capital expenditures, services, and software acquisitions/license commitments. Cancellable purchase obligations that the Company intends to fulfill are also included in the purchase obligations amount listed above through fiscal year 2017. In certain instances, such as when lead times dictate, the Company enters into contractual agreements for material in excess of the levels required to fulfill customer orders. In turn, agreements with the customers cover a portion of that exposure for the material which was purchased prior to having a firm order.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements other than standby letters of credit and operating leases entered into in the normal course of business. These arrangements do not have a material current effect and are not reasonably likely to have a material future effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources. See Note 4 - Commitments and Contingent Liabilities of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on standby letters of credit. The Company does not have material exposures to trading activities of non-exchange traded contracts.

The preceding statements are forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Certain factors could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These principles require the use of estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported and disclosed in the consolidated financial statements and related notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions. Management uses its best judgment in the assumptions used to value these estimates, which are based on current facts and circumstances, prior experience, and other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable. The Company's management overlays a fundamental philosophy of valuing its assets and liabilities in an appropriately conservative manner. Management believes the following critical accounting policies reflect the more significant judgments and estimates used in preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements and are the policies that are most critical in the portrayal of the Company's financial position and results of operations. Management has discussed these critical accounting policies and estimates with the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors and with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

Revenue recognition - The Company recognizes revenue when title and risk transfer to the customer, which under the terms and conditions of the sale may occur either at the time of shipment or when the product is delivered to the customer. Service revenue is recognized as services are rendered. Shipping and handling fees billed to customers are recorded as sales while the related shipping and handling costs are included in cost of goods sold. The Company recognizes sales net of applicable sales tax.

- Sales returns and allowances - At the time revenue is recognized certain provisions may also be recorded, including a provision for returns and allowances, which involve estimates based on current discussions with applicable customers, historical experience with a particular customer and/or product, and other relevant factors. As such, these factors may change over time causing the provisions to be adjusted accordingly. At June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, the reserve for returns and allowances was \$2.5 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. The returns and allowances reserve approximated 1% to 2% of gross trade receivables during fiscal years 2012 and 2011.
- Allowance for doubtful accounts - Allowance for doubtful accounts is generally based on a percentage of aged accounts receivable, where the percentage increases as the accounts receivable become older. However, management judgment is utilized in the final determination of the allowance based on several factors including specific analysis of a customer's credit worthiness, changes in a customer's payment history, historical bad debt experience, and general economic and market trends. The allowance for doubtful accounts at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 was \$0.8 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. This reserve approximated 1% of gross trade accounts receivable during fiscal years 2012 and 2011.

Excess and obsolete inventory - Inventories were valued using the lower of last-in, first-out (LIFO) cost or market value for approximately 10% and 11% of consolidated inventories at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, including

approximately 78% and 81% of the Furniture segment inventories at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. The remaining inventories were valued at lower of first-in, first-out (FIFO) cost or market value. Inventories recorded on the Company's balance sheet are adjusted for excess and obsolete inventory. In general, the Company purchases materials and finished goods for contract-based business from customer orders and projections, primarily in the case of long lead time items, and has a general philosophy to only purchase materials to the extent covered by a written commitment from its customers. However, there are times when inventory is purchased beyond customer commitments due to minimum lot sizes and inventory lead time requirements, or where component allocation or other procurement issues exist. The Company may also purchase additional inventory to support transfers of production between manufacturing facilities. Evaluation of excess inventory includes such factors as anticipated usage, inventory turnover, inventory levels, and product demand levels. Factors considered when evaluating inventory obsolescence include the age of on-hand inventory and reduction in value due to damage, use as showroom samples, design changes, or cessation of product lines.

Self-insurance reserves - The Company is self-insured up to certain limits for auto and general liability, workers' compensation, and certain employee health benefits such as medical, short-term disability, and dental with the related liabilities included in the accompanying financial statements. The Company's policy is to estimate reserves based upon a number of factors including known claims, estimated incurred but not reported claims, and other analyses, which are based on historical information along with certain assumptions about future events. Changes in assumptions for such matters as increased medical costs and changes in actual experience could cause these estimates to change and reserve levels to be adjusted accordingly. At June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, the Company's accrued liabilities for self-insurance exposure were \$3.9 million and \$3.6 million, respectively.

Taxes - Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. These assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which the temporary differences are expected to reverse. The Company evaluates the recoverability of its deferred tax assets each quarter by assessing the likelihood of future profitability and available tax planning strategies that could be implemented to realize its deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, the Company provides a valuation allowance based on its best estimate of future taxable income in the various taxing jurisdictions and the amount of deferred taxes ultimately realizable. Future events could change management's assessment.

The Company operates within multiple taxing jurisdictions and is subject to tax audits in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues, which may require an extended period of time to resolve. However, the Company believes it has made adequate provision for income and other taxes for all years that are subject to audit. As tax periods are effectively settled, the provision will be adjusted accordingly. The liability for uncertain income tax and other tax positions, including accrued interest and penalties on those positions, was \$3.8 million at June 30, 2012 and \$3.6 million at June 30, 2011.

New Accounting Standards

See Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding New Accounting Standards.

Item 7A - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Foreign Exchange Rate Risk: The Company operates internationally and thus is subject to potentially adverse movements in foreign currency rate changes. The Company's risk management strategy includes the use of derivative financial instruments to hedge certain foreign currency exposures. Derivatives are used only to manage underlying exposures of the Company and are not used in a speculative manner. Further information on derivative financial instruments is provided in Note 11 - Derivative Instruments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company estimates that a hypothetical 10% adverse change in foreign currency exchange rates from levels at June 30, 2012 and 2011 relative to non-functional currency balances of monetary instruments, to the extent not hedged by derivative instruments, would not have a material impact on profitability in an annual period.

Equity Risk: The Company holds an investment in the non-marketable equity securities and stock warrants of a privately-held company. If the private company experiences certain events or circumstances, such as the loss of customers, the inability to achieve growth initiatives, or if there are factors beyond its control in the markets which it serves, the private company's performance could be affected materially resulting in a loss of some or all of its value, which could result in an other-than-temporary impairment of the investment. If an other-than-temporary impairment of fair value would occur, the investment would be adjusted down to its fair value and an impairment charge would be recognized in earnings.

During fiscal year 2012, the privately-held company experienced delays in their start-up, and therefore initiated another round of financing that the Company chose not to participate in, which resulted in the automatic conversion of preferred shares and

warrants to common shares and warrants. Upon the conversion, the equity securities and warrants were revalued, resulting in an impairment loss of \$0.7 million on the equity securities and a \$0.5 million derivative loss on stock warrants. During fiscal year 2011, the equity securities experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair market value resulting in a \$1.2 million impairment loss, and the revaluation of stock warrants in conjunction with a qualified financing resulted in a \$1.0 million derivative gain. The non-marketable equity investment had a carrying amount of \$1.1 million and \$1.8 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and the stock warrants had a carrying amount of \$0.9 million and \$1.4 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	33
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.	34
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and 2011	35
Consolidated Statements of Income for Each of the Three Years in the Period Ended June 30, 2012	36
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Each of the Three Years in the Period Ended June 30, 2012	37
Consolidated Statements of Share Owners' Equity for Each of the Three Years in the Period Ended June 30, 2012 . .	38
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	39

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Kimball International, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and for the preparation and integrity of the accompanying financial statements and other related information in this report. The consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries, including the footnotes, were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include judgments and estimates, which in the opinion of management are applied on an appropriately conservative basis. The Company maintains a system of internal and disclosure controls intended to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded from loss or material misuse, transactions are authorized and recorded properly, and that the accounting records may be relied upon for the preparation of the financial statements. This system is tested and evaluated regularly for adherence and effectiveness by employees who work within the internal control processes, by the Company's staff of internal auditors, as well as by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with their annual audit.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which is comprised of directors who are not employees of the Company, meets regularly with management, the internal auditors, and the independent registered public accounting firm to review the Company's financial policies and procedures, its internal control structure, the objectivity of its financial reporting, and the independence of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm have free and direct access to the Audit Committee, and they meet periodically, without management present, to discuss appropriate matters.

Because of inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements and even when determined to be effective, can only provide reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

These consolidated financial statements are subject to an evaluation of internal control over financial reporting conducted under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on that evaluation, conducted under the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2012.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an audit report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting which is included herein.

/s/ JAMES C. THYEN

James C. Thyen

President,

Chief Executive Officer

August 27, 2012

/s/ ROBERT F. SCHNEIDER

Robert F. Schneider

Executive Vice President,

Chief Financial Officer

August 27, 2012

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Share Owners of Kimball International, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Kimball International, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, share owners' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Kimball International, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana

August 27, 2012

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Amounts in Thousands, Except for Share and Per Share Data)

	June 30 2012	June 30 2011
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 75,197	\$ 51,409
Receivables, net of allowances of \$1,367 and \$1,799, respectively.	139,467	149,753
Inventories	117,681	141,097
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	44,636	50,215
Assets held for sale	1,709	2,807
Total current assets.	<u>378,690</u>	<u>395,281</u>
Property and Equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$357,808 and \$360,105, respectively	186,099	196,682
Goodwill	2,480	2,644
Other Intangible Assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$65,824 and \$65,514, respectively	6,206	7,625
Other Assets	22,041	24,080
Total Assets	<u><u>\$ 595,516</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 626,312</u></u>
LIABILITIES AND SHARE OWNERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 14	\$ 12
Accounts payable	137,423	149,107
Dividends payable	1,843	1,835
Accrued expenses	48,460	66,316
Total current liabilities.	<u>187,740</u>	<u>217,270</u>
Other Liabilities:		
Long-term debt, less current maturities	273	286
Other	21,275	21,357
Total other liabilities	<u>21,548</u>	<u>21,643</u>
Share Owners' Equity:		
Common stock-par value \$0.05 per share:		
Class A - Shares authorized: 50,000,000		
Shares issued: 14,359,000 (14,368,000 in 2011)	718	718
Class B - Shares authorized: 100,000,000		
Shares issued: 28,666,000 (28,657,000 in 2011)	1,433	1,433
Additional paid-in capital	635	230
Retained earnings	452,093	450,172
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(4,963)	1,618
Less: Treasury stock, at cost:		
Class A - 4,020,000 shares (3,945,000 in 2011)	(49,235)	(49,437)
Class B - 1,104,000 shares (1,330,000 in 2011)	(14,453)	(17,335)
Total Share Owners' Equity.	<u>386,228</u>	<u>387,399</u>
Total Liabilities and Share Owners' Equity	<u><u>\$ 595,516</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 626,312</u></u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Amounts in Thousands, Except for Per Share Data)

Year Ended June 30

	2012	2011	2010
Net Sales	\$ 1,142,061	\$ 1,202,597	\$ 1,122,808
Cost of Sales	932,106	1,008,005	946,275
Gross Profit	209,955	194,592	176,533
Selling and Administrative Expenses	188,148	191,167	181,771
Other General Income	—	—	(9,980)
Restructuring Expense	3,418	1,009	2,051
Operating Income	18,389	2,416	2,691
Other Income (Expense):			
Interest income	430	820	1,188
Interest expense	(35)	(121)	(142)
Non-operating income	1,096	4,542	2,980
Non-operating expense	(2,178)	(3,220)	(749)
Other income (expense), net	(687)	2,021	3,277
Income Before Taxes on Income	17,702	4,437	5,968
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	6,068	(485)	(4,835)
Net Income	<u>\$ 11,634</u>	<u>\$ 4,922</u>	<u>\$ 10,803</u>

Earnings Per Share of Common Stock:

Basic Earnings Per Share:

Class A	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.27
Class B	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.29

Diluted Earnings Per Share:

Class A	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.27
Class B	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.29

Average Number of Shares Outstanding:

Basic:

Class A	10,387	10,493	10,694
Class B	27,494	27,233	26,765
Totals	<u>37,881</u>	<u>37,726</u>	<u>37,459</u>

Diluted:

Class A	10,593	10,639	10,791
Class B	27,494	27,234	26,770
Totals	<u>38,087</u>	<u>37,873</u>	<u>37,561</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Amounts in Thousands)

	Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 11,634	\$ 4,922	\$ 10,803
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	30,973	31,207	34,760
Gain on sales of assets	(28)	(35)	(6,771)
Restructuring	439	—	176
Deferred income tax and other deferred charges	3,561	3,658	(2,023)
Stock-based compensation	1,443	1,284	1,824
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(41)	—	(263)
Other, net	2,301	963	(392)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:			
Receivables	6,655	2,975	(17,629)
Inventories	20,472	3,243	(26,229)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,430	(5,004)	(8,269)
Accounts payable	(7,081)	(28,524)	26,700
Accrued expenses	(17,739)	6,660	695
Net cash provided by operating activities	59,019	21,349	13,382
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Capital expenditures	(26,943)	(31,371)	(34,791)
Proceeds from sales of assets	2,566	941	12,900
Purchases of capitalized software	(1,323)	(1,839)	(624)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	—	—	(7,193)
Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities	—	—	29,702
Other, net	(13)	(1,458)	198
Net cash (used for) provided by investing activities	(25,713)	(33,727)	192
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	—	88,750	—
Payments on revolving credit facility	—	(88,750)	(12,248)
Payments on long-term debt	(11)	(62)	(60)
Dividends paid to Share Owners	(7,363)	(7,330)	(7,264)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	41	—	263
Repurchase of employee shares for tax withholding	(337)	(278)	(1,212)
Net cash used for financing activities	(7,670)	(7,670)	(20,521)
Effect of Exchange Rate Change on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(1,848)	6,115	(3,643)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	23,788	(13,933)	(10,590)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	51,409	65,342	75,932
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 75,197	\$ 51,409	\$ 65,342

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHARE OWNERS' EQUITY
(Amounts in Thousands, Except for Share and Per Share Data)

	Common Stock		Additional	Retained	Accumulated	Treasury	Total
	Class A	Class B	Paid-In	Earnings	Other	Stock	Share
			Capital		Comprehensive		Owners'
					Income (Loss)		Equity
Amounts at June 30, 2009	\$ 718	\$ 1,433	\$ 343	\$ 458,180	\$ (501)	\$ (77,819)	\$ 382,354
Comprehensive income:							
Net income				10,803			10,803
Net change in unrealized gains and losses on securities					(463)		(463)
Foreign currency translation adjustment					(10,384)		(10,384)
Net change in derivative gains and losses					1,724		1,724
Postemployment severance prior service cost					173		173
Postemployment severance actuarial change					(324)		(324)
Comprehensive income							1,529
Issuance of non-restricted stock (20,000 shares)			(209)	(66)		258	(17)
Net exchanges of shares of Class A and Class B common stock (460,000 shares)			(490)	(2,567)		3,057	---
Vesting of restricted share units (209,000 shares)			(274)	(3,435)		3,157	(552)
Compensation expense related to stock incentive plans			1,824				1,824
Performance share issuance (97,000 shares)			(1,075)	(784)		1,480	(379)
Dividends declared:							
Class A (\$0.18 per share)				(1,955)			(1,955)
Class B (\$0.20 per share)				(5,376)			(5,376)
Amounts at June 30, 2010	\$ 718	\$ 1,433	\$ 119	\$ 454,800	\$ (9,775)	\$ (69,867)	\$ 377,428
Comprehensive income:							
Net income				4,922			4,922
Foreign currency translation adjustment					10,313		10,313
Net change in derivative gains and losses					(458)		(458)
Postemployment severance prior service cost					171		171
Postemployment severance actuarial change					1,367		1,367
Comprehensive income							16,315
Issuance of non-restricted stock (39,000 shares)			(556)	(107)		499	(164)
Net exchanges of shares of Class A and Class B common stock (215,000 shares)			(551)	(728)		1,279	---
Compensation expense related to stock incentive plans			1,284				1,284
Performance share issuance (99,000 shares)			(66)	(1,378)		1,317	(127)
Dividends declared:							
Class A (\$0.18 per share)				(1,889)			(1,889)
Class B (\$0.20 per share)				(5,448)			(5,448)
Amounts at June 30, 2011	\$ 718	\$ 1,433	\$ 230	\$ 450,172	\$ 1,618	\$ (66,772)	\$ 387,399
Comprehensive income:							
Net income				11,634			11,634
Foreign currency translation adjustment					(8,727)		(8,727)
Net change in derivative gains and losses					833		833
Postemployment severance prior service cost					172		172
Postemployment severance actuarial change					1,141		1,141
Comprehensive income							5,053
Issuance of non-restricted stock (20,000 shares)			(227)	(93)		243	(77)
Net exchanges of shares of Class A and Class B common stock (209,000 shares)			(782)	(529)		1,311	---
Compensation expense related to stock incentive plans			1,443				1,443
Performance share issuance (131,000 shares)			(29)	(1,720)		1,530	(219)
Dividends declared:							
Class A (\$0.18 per share)				(1,869)			(1,869)
Class B (\$0.20 per share)				(5,502)			(5,502)
Amounts at June 30, 2012	\$ 718	\$ 1,433	\$ 635	\$ 452,093	\$ (4,963)	\$ (63,688)	\$ 386,228

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all domestic and foreign subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts included in the consolidated financial statements and related note disclosures. While efforts are made to assure estimates used are reasonably accurate based on management's knowledge of current events, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition: Revenue from product sales is recognized when title and risk transfer to the customer, which under the terms and conditions of the sale, may occur either at the time of shipment or when the product is delivered to the customer. Service revenue is recognized as services are rendered. Shipping and handling fees billed to customers are recorded as sales while the related shipping and handling costs are included in cost of goods sold. The Company recognizes sales net of applicable sales tax. Based on estimated product returns and price concessions, a reserve for returns and allowances is recorded at the time of the sale, resulting in a reduction of revenue.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash equivalents consist primarily of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of acquisition. Cash and cash equivalents consist of bank accounts and money market funds. Bank accounts are stated at cost, which approximates fair value, and money market funds are stated at fair value.

Notes Receivable and Trade Accounts Receivable: The Company's notes receivable and trade accounts receivable are recorded per the terms of the agreement or sale, and accrued interest is recognized when earned. The Company determines on a case-by-case basis the cessation of accruing interest, the resumption of accruing interest, the method of recording payments received on nonaccrual receivables, and the delinquency status for the Company's limited number of notes receivable.

The Company's policy for estimating the allowance for credit losses on trade accounts receivable and notes receivable includes analysis of such items as age, credit worthiness, payment history, and historical bad debt experience. Management uses these specific analyses in conjunction with an evaluation of the general economic and market conditions to determine the final allowance for credit losses on the trade accounts receivable and notes receivable. Trade accounts receivable and notes receivable are written off after exhaustive collection efforts occur and the receivable is deemed uncollectible. The Company's limited number of notes receivable allows management to monitor the risks, credit quality indicators, collectability, and probability of impairment on an individual basis. Adjustments to the allowance for credit losses are recorded in selling and administrative expenses.

In the ordinary course of business, customers periodically negotiate extended payment terms on trade accounts receivable. The Company may utilize accounts receivable factoring arrangements with third-party financial institutions in order to extend terms for the customer without negatively impacting the Company's cash flow. These arrangements in all cases do not contain recourse provisions against the Company for its customers' failure to pay. Receivables are considered sold when they are transferred beyond the reach of the Company and its creditors, the purchaser has the right to pledge or exchange the receivables, and the Company has surrendered control over the transferred receivables. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the Company sold, without recourse, \$59 million of accounts receivable. There were no receivables sold during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Factoring fees were not material.

Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost includes material, labor, and applicable manufacturing overhead. Costs associated with underutilization of capacity are expensed as incurred. The last-in, first-out (LIFO) method was used for approximately 10% and 11% of consolidated inventories at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, and remaining inventories were valued using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories recorded on the Company's balance sheet are adjusted for excess and obsolete inventory. Evaluation of excess inventory includes such factors as anticipated usage, inventory turnover, inventory levels, and product demand levels. Factors considered when evaluating obsolescence include the age of on-hand inventory and reduction in value due to damage, use as showroom samples, design changes, or cessation of product lines.

Property, Equipment, and Depreciation: Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful life of the assets using the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the useful life of the improvement or the term of the lease. Major maintenance activities and improvements are capitalized; other maintenance, repairs, and minor renewals and betterments are expensed.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: The Company performs reviews for impairment of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized when estimated future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition are less than its carrying amount. When an impairment is identified, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its estimated fair value. Assets to be disposed of are recorded at the lower of net book value or fair market value less cost to sell at the date management commits to a plan of disposal.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill represents the difference between the purchase price and the related underlying tangible and intangible net asset fair values resulting from business acquisitions. Annually, or if conditions indicate an earlier review is necessary, the Company may assess qualitative factors to determine if it is more likely than not that the fair value is less than its carrying amount and if it is necessary to perform the quantitative two-step goodwill impairment test. The Company also has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment and proceed directly to performing the first step of the quantitative goodwill impairment test. If the first step is determined to be necessary, the Company compares the carrying value of the reporting unit to an estimate of the reporting unit's fair value to identify potential impairment. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value, a second step is performed to determine the amount of potential goodwill impairment. If impaired, goodwill is written down to its estimated implied fair value. Goodwill is assigned to and the fair value is tested at the reporting unit level. The fair value is established primarily using a discounted cash flow analysis and secondarily a market approach utilizing current industry information. The calculation of the fair value of the reporting units considers current market conditions existing at the assessment date. During fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, no goodwill impairment loss was recognized.

A summary of the goodwill by segment is as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	Electronic Manufacturing Services	Furniture	Consolidated
Balance as of June 30, 2010			
Goodwill	\$ 15,269	\$ 1,733	\$ 17,002
Accumulated impairment losses	(12,826)	(1,733)	(14,559)
Goodwill, net	2,443	—	2,443
Effect of Foreign Currency Translation	201	—	201
Balance as of June 30, 2011			
Goodwill	15,470	1,733	17,203
Accumulated impairment losses	(12,826)	(1,733)	(14,559)
Goodwill, net	2,644	—	2,644
Effect of Foreign Currency Translation	(164)	—	(164)
Balance as of June 30, 2012			
Goodwill	15,306	1,733	17,039
Accumulated impairment losses	(12,826)	(1,733)	(14,559)
Goodwill, net	\$ 2,480	\$ —	\$ 2,480

In addition to performing the required annual testing, the Company will continue to monitor circumstances and events in future periods to determine whether additional goodwill impairment testing is warranted on an interim basis. The Company can provide no assurance that an impairment charge for the remaining goodwill balance, which approximates only 0.4% of the Company's total assets, will not occur in future periods as a result of these analyses.

Other Intangible Assets reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of capitalized software, product rights, and customer relationships. Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable over the remaining lives of the assets.

A summary of other intangible assets subject to amortization by segment is as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	June 30, 2012			June 30, 2011		
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Value	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Value
Electronic Manufacturing Services:						
Capitalized Software	\$ 28,470	\$ 26,084	\$ 2,386	\$ 28,676	\$ 25,700	\$ 2,976
Customer Relationships	1,167	843	324	1,167	744	423
Other Intangible Assets	29,637	26,927	2,710	29,843	26,444	3,399
Furniture:						
Capitalized Software	36,937	33,889	3,048	36,375	33,064	3,311
Product Rights	372	210	162	1,160	606	554
Other Intangible Assets	37,309	34,099	3,210	37,535	33,670	3,865
Unallocated Corporate:						
Capitalized Software	5,084	4,798	286	5,761	5,400	361
Other Intangible Assets	5,084	4,798	286	5,761	5,400	361
Consolidated	<u>\$ 72,030</u>	<u>\$ 65,824</u>	<u>\$ 6,206</u>	<u>\$ 73,139</u>	<u>\$ 65,514</u>	<u>\$ 7,625</u>

During fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, amortization expense of other intangible assets was, in thousands, \$2,669, \$2,367, and \$2,484, respectively. Amortization expense in future periods is expected to be, in thousands, \$2,140, \$1,516, \$909, \$464, and \$389 in the five years ending June 30, 2017, and \$788 thereafter. The amortization period for product rights is 7 years. The amortization period for the customer relationship intangible asset ranges from 10 to 16 years. The estimated useful life of internal-use software ranges from 3 to 10 years. During fiscal year 2012, the Furniture segment recognized impairment of \$256, in thousands, related to intangible product rights for a product line with volumes much lower than originally forecasted. The impairment loss was included in the Selling and Administrative Expenses line of the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Internal-use software is stated at cost less accumulated amortization and is amortized using the straight-line method. During the software application development stage, capitalized costs include external consulting costs, cost of software licenses, and internal payroll and payroll-related costs for employees who are directly associated with a software project. Upgrades and enhancements are capitalized if they result in added functionality which enable the software to perform tasks it was previously incapable of performing. Software maintenance, training, data conversion, and business process reengineering costs are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

Product rights to produce and sell certain products are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, and capitalized customer relationships are amortized on estimated attrition rate of customers. The Company has no intangible assets with indefinite useful lives which are not subject to amortization.

Research and Development: The costs of research and development are expensed as incurred. Research and development costs were approximately, in millions, \$13, \$13, and \$12 in fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Advertising: Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs, included in selling and administrative expenses were, in millions, \$4.7, \$4.3, and \$5.5, in fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Insurance and Self-insurance: The Company is self-insured up to certain limits for auto and general liability, workers' compensation, and certain employee health benefits including medical, short-term disability, and dental, with the related liabilities included in the accompanying financial statements. The Company's policy is to estimate reserves based upon a number of factors including known claims, estimated incurred but not reported claims, and other analyses, which are based on historical information along with certain assumptions about future events. Approximately 60% of the workforce is covered under self-insured medical and short-term disability plans.

The Company carries external medical and disability insurance coverage for the remainder of its eligible workforce not covered by self-insured plans. Insurance benefits are not provided to retired employees.

Income Taxes: Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. These assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which the temporary differences are expected to reverse. The Company evaluates the recoverability of its deferred tax assets each quarter by assessing the likelihood of future profitability and available tax planning strategies that could be implemented

to realize its deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, the Company provides a valuation allowance based on its best estimate of future taxable income in the various taxing jurisdictions and the amount of deferred taxes ultimately realizable. Future events could change management's assessment.

The Company operates within multiple taxing jurisdictions and is subject to tax audits in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex uncertain tax positions, which may require an extended period of time to resolve. A tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company maintains a liability for uncertain income tax and other tax positions, including accrued interest and penalties on those positions. As tax periods are effectively settled, the liability is adjusted accordingly. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes line of the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Concentrations of Credit Risk: The Company has business and credit risks concentrated in the medical, automotive, and furniture industries. Additionally, the Company currently has notes receivable with an electronics engineering services firm, a note receivable related to the sale of an Indiana facility, and other miscellaneous notes receivable. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, \$3.0 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, was outstanding under the notes receivables. The credit risk associated with receivables is disclosed in Note 19 - Credit Quality and Allowance for Credit Losses of Notes Receivable of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Risk: The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements are limited to operating leases entered into in the normal course of business as described in Note 4 - Commitments and Contingent Liabilities of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other General Income: No Other General Income was recorded in fiscal years 2012 or 2011. Other General Income, in fiscal year 2010 of \$10.0 million included a gain on the sale of the Company's Poland facility of \$6.7 million and land and settlement proceeds related to a class action lawsuit of which the Company was a class member of \$3.3 million.

Non-operating Income and Expense: Non-operating income and expense include the impact of such items as foreign currency rate movements and related derivative gain or loss, fair value adjustments on privately-held investments and Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan (SERP) investments, non-production rent income, bank charges, and other miscellaneous non-operating income and expense items that are not directly related to operations.

Foreign Currency Translation: The Company uses the U.S. dollar and Euro predominately as its functional currencies. Foreign currency assets and liabilities are remeasured into functional currencies at end-of-period exchange rates, except for nonmonetary assets and equity, which are remeasured at historical exchange rates. Revenue and expenses are remeasured at the weighted average exchange rate during the fiscal year, except for expenses related to nonmonetary assets, which are remeasured at historical exchange rates. Gains and losses from foreign currency remeasurement are reported in the Non-operating income or expense line item on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

For businesses whose functional currency is other than the U.S. dollar, the translation of functional currency statements to U.S. dollar statements uses end-of-period exchange rates for assets and liabilities, weighted average exchange rates for revenue and expenses, and historical rates for equity. The resulting currency translation adjustment is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), as a component of Share Owners' Equity.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities: Derivative financial instruments are recognized on the balance sheet as assets and liabilities and are measured at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in earnings or Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), depending on whether a derivative is designated and effective as part of a hedge transaction, and if it is, the type of hedge transaction. Hedge accounting is utilized when a derivative is expected to be highly effective upon execution and continues to be highly effective over the duration of the hedge transaction. Hedge accounting permits gains and losses on derivative instruments to be deferred in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) and subsequently included in earnings in the periods in which earnings are affected by the hedged item, or when the derivative is determined to be ineffective. The Company uses derivatives primarily for forward purchases of foreign currency to manage exposure to the variability of cash flows, primarily related to the foreign exchange rate risks inherent in forecasted transactions denominated in foreign currency. Additionally, the Company has an investment in stock warrants which is accounted for as a derivative instrument. See Note 11 - Derivative Instruments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Stock-Based Compensation: As described in Note 7 - Stock Compensation Plans of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company maintains stock-based compensation plans which allow for the issuance of restricted stock, restricted share units, unrestricted share grants, incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, performance shares, performance units, and stock appreciation rights for grant to officers and other key employees of the Company and to members of the Board of Directors who are not employees. The Company recognizes the cost resulting from share-based payment transactions using

a fair-value-based method. The estimated fair value of outstanding performance shares is based on the stock price at the date of the grant. For performance shares, the price is reduced by the present value of dividends normally paid over the vesting period which are not payable on outstanding performance share awards. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized for the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

New Accounting Standards: In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance which creates new disclosure requirements for offsetting assets and liabilities. The guidance requires the Company to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The guidance is effective for the Company's first quarter fiscal year 2014 financial statements on a retrospective basis. The Company is currently evaluating this guidance, but does not expect the adoption will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance to allow the use of a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. The guidance permits the Company to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. The Company chose to early adopt this standard, therefore the guidance was effective for the Company's first quarter fiscal year 2012 financial statements. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. At June 30, 2012, the Company's goodwill totaled \$2.5 million, which approximates 0.4% of the Company's total assets.

In June 2011, the FASB issued new guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income. This guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the Statement of Share Owners' Equity. Instead, the Company must report comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income which contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. While the new guidance changes the presentation of comprehensive income, there are no changes to the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under current accounting guidance. The guidance is effective for the Company's first quarter fiscal year 2013 financial statements on a retrospective basis. As this guidance only amends the presentation of the components of comprehensive income, the adoption will not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance to amend certain measurement and disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements to improve consistency with international reporting standards. The guidance required additional disclosures, including disclosures related to the measurement of level 3 assets. The guidance became effective prospectively for the Company's third quarter fiscal year 2012 financial statements. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance to improve disclosures about fair value instruments. The guidance requires additional disclosure about significant transfers between levels 1, 2, and 3 of the fair value hierarchy and requires disclosure of level 3 activity on a gross basis. In addition, the guidance clarifies existing requirements regarding the required level of disaggregation by class of assets and liabilities and also clarifies disclosures of inputs and valuation techniques. The guidance became effective beginning in the Company's third quarter of fiscal year 2010, except for the requirement to disclose level 3 activity on a gross basis, which became effective as of the beginning of the Company's fiscal year 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 Inventories

Inventories are valued using the lower of last-in, first-out (LIFO) cost or market value for approximately 10% and 11% of consolidated inventories at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, including approximately 78% and 81% of the Furniture segment inventories at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. The EMS segment inventories and the remaining inventories in the Furniture segment are valued using the lower of first-in, first-out (FIFO) cost or market value.

Had the FIFO method been used for all inventories, income would have been \$0.4 million lower in fiscal year 2012, \$0.2 million higher in fiscal year 2011, and \$0.8 million lower in fiscal year 2010. Certain inventory quantity reductions caused liquidations of LIFO inventory values, which increased income by \$1.8 million in fiscal year 2012, \$0.9 million in fiscal year 2011, and \$1.3 million in fiscal year 2010.

Inventory components at June 30 were as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	2012	2011
Finished products	\$ 26,552	\$ 33,287
Work-in-process	12,582	11,734
Raw materials	91,105	109,337
Total FIFO inventory	\$ 130,239	\$ 154,358
LIFO reserve	(12,558)	(13,261)
Total inventory	<u>\$ 117,681</u>	<u>\$ 141,097</u>

Note 3 Property and Equipment

Major classes of property and equipment at June 30 consist of the following:

(Amounts in Thousands)	2012	2011
Land	\$ 12,050	\$ 12,849
Buildings and improvements	175,574	184,684
Machinery and equipment	350,995	349,489
Construction-in-progress	5,288	9,765
Total	\$ 543,907	\$ 556,787
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(357,808)	(360,105)
Property and equipment, net.	<u>\$ 186,099</u>	<u>\$ 196,682</u>

The useful lives used in computing depreciation are based on the Company's estimate of the service life of the classes of property, as follows:

	Years
Buildings and improvements	5 to 50
Machinery and equipment	2 to 20
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of Useful Life or Term of Lease

Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment, including asset write-downs associated with the Company's restructuring plans, totaled, in millions, \$28.9 for fiscal year 2012, \$29.0 for fiscal year 2011, and \$32.5 for fiscal year 2010.

During fiscal year 2012, the Furniture segment recognized impairment of \$78, in thousands, related to equipment for a product line with volumes much lower than originally forecasted, which was included in the Cost of Sales line on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

Due to a decline in the market value of a held for sale EMS facility, the Company recognized in Unallocated Corporate a pre-tax impairment loss, in thousands, of \$572 during fiscal year 2012, which was included in the Restructuring Expense line on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

At June 30, 2012, in thousands, assets totaling \$1,709 were classified as held for sale, and consisted of \$588 for a facility and land related to the Gaylord, Michigan exited operation within the EMS segment and \$1,121 for an idle Furniture segment manufacturing facility and land located in Jasper, Indiana. The Gaylord, Michigan facility and land were reported as unallocated corporate assets for segment reporting purposes. The idle Jasper, Indiana manufacturing facility and land were reported as Furniture segment assets for segment reporting purposes.

During fiscal year 2012, the Company sold a tract of land in Poland which was previously classified as held for sale. The sale had an immaterial effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had, in thousands, assets totaling \$2,807 classified as held for sale.

Note 4 Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

Leases:

Operating leases for certain office, showroom, manufacturing facilities, land, and equipment, which expire from fiscal year 2013 to 2056, contain provisions under which minimum annual lease payments are, in millions, \$3.5, \$2.5, \$1.7, \$0.9, and \$0.4 for the five years ended June 30, 2017, respectively, and aggregate \$0.5 million from fiscal year 2018 to the expiration of the leases in fiscal year 2056. The Company is obligated under certain real estate leases to maintain the properties and pay real estate taxes. Certain leases include renewal options and escalation clauses. Total rental expenses amounted to, in millions, \$4.8, \$6.2, and \$5.4 in fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, including certain leases requiring contingent lease payments based on warehouse space utilized, which amounted to expense of, in millions, \$0.4, \$0.5, and \$0.4 in fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company had no capital leases.

Guarantees:

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company had no guarantees issued which were contingent on the future performance of another entity. Standby letters of credit are issued to third-party suppliers, lessors, and insurance and financial institutions and can only be drawn upon in the event of the Company's failure to pay its obligations to the beneficiary. The Company had a maximum financial exposure from unused standby letters of credit totaling \$4.3 million as of June 30, 2012 and \$5.2 million as of June 30, 2011. The Company is not aware of circumstances that would require it to perform under any of these arrangements and believes that the resolution of any claims that might arise in the future, either individually or in the aggregate, would not materially affect the Company's financial statements. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 with respect to the standby letters of credit. The Company also enters into commercial letters of credit to facilitate payments to vendors and from customers.

Product Warranties:

The Company estimates product warranty liability at the time of sale based on historical repair cost trends in conjunction with the length of the warranty offered. Management refines the warranty liability in cases where specific warranty issues become known.

Changes in the product warranty accrual during fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010 were as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	2012	2011	2010
Product Warranty Liability at the beginning of the year	\$ 2,109	\$ 1,818	\$ 2,176
Additions to warranty accrual (including changes in estimates)	1,019	1,060	59
Settlements made (in cash or in kind)	(877)	(769)	(417)
Product Warranty Liability at the end of the year	<u>\$ 2,251</u>	<u>\$ 2,109</u>	<u>\$ 1,818</u>

Note 5 Long-Term Debt and Credit Facility

Long-term debt, less current maturities as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, was, in thousands, \$273 and \$286, respectively, and current maturities of long-term debt were, in thousands, \$14 and \$12, respectively. Long-term debt consists of a long-term note payable, which has an interest rate of 9.25% and matures in 2025. Aggregate maturities of long-term debt for the next five years are, in thousands, \$14, \$15, \$16, \$18, and \$19, respectively, and aggregate \$205 thereafter.

Credit facilities consisted of the following:

(Amounts in Millions, in U.S Dollar Equivalents)	Availability to Borrow at June 30, 2012	Borrowings Outstanding at June 30, 2012	Borrowings Outstanding at June 30, 2011
Primary revolving credit facility ⁽¹⁾	\$ 95.7	\$ —	\$ —
Poland overdraft credit facility ⁽²⁾	7.6	—	—
Total	<u>\$ 103.3</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

- (1) The Company's primary revolving credit facility, which expires in April 2013, provides for up to \$100 million in borrowings, with an option to increase the amount available for borrowing to \$150 million at the Company's request,

subject to participating banks' consent. The Company uses this facility for acquisitions and general corporate purposes. A commitment fee is payable on the unused portion of the credit facility which was immaterial to the Company's operating results for fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010. The commitment fee on the unused portion of principal amount of the credit facility is payable at a rate that ranges from 12.5 to 15.0 basis points per annum as determined by the Company's leverage ratio. Borrowings under the credit agreement bear interest at a floating rate based, at the Company's option, upon a London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable percentage or the greater of the federal funds rate plus an applicable percentage and the prime rate. The credit facility requires the Company to comply with certain debt covenants including interest coverage ratio and net worth. The Company was in compliance with these covenants during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. The Company had \$4.3 million in letters of credit contingently committed against the credit facility at June 30, 2012.

The Company also maintains a foreign credit facility for its EMS segment operation in Thailand which is backed by the \$100 million revolving credit facility. This foreign credit facility is reviewed for renewal annually and can be canceled at any time by either the bank or the Company. Interest on borrowing in US dollars under the facility is charged at 0.75% per annum over the Singapore Interbank Money Market Offered Rate (SIBOR). The interest rate on borrowings in Thai Baht under the facility is charged at the prevailing market rate.

- (2) The credit facility for the EMS segment operation in Poland allows for multi-currency borrowings up to a 6 million Euro equivalent (approximately \$7.6 million U.S. dollars at June 30, 2012 exchange rates) and is available to cover bank overdrafts. Bank overdrafts may be deemed necessary to satisfy short-term cash needs at the Company's Poland location rather than funding from intercompany sources. This credit facility is reviewed for renewal annually and can be canceled at any time by either the bank or the Company. Interest on the overdraft is charged at 1.75% over the Euro Overnight Index Average (EONIA).

As of both June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no outstanding short-term borrowings. Cash payments for interest on borrowings were, in thousands, \$37, \$121, and \$203, in fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Capitalized interest expense was immaterial during fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010.

Note 6 Employee Benefit Plans

Retirement Plans:

The Company has a trustee defined contribution retirement plan in effect for substantially all domestic employees meeting the eligibility requirements. Payments by the Company to the trustee plan have a five-year vesting schedule and are held for the sole benefit of participants. The Company also maintains a supplemental employee retirement plan (SERP) for executive employees which enable them to defer cash compensation on a pre-tax basis in excess of IRS limitations. The SERP is structured as a rabbi trust, and therefore assets in the SERP portfolio are subject to creditor claims in the event of bankruptcy.

Company contributions for domestic employees are based on a percent of net income with certain minimum and maximum limits as determined annually by the Compensation and Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. Total expense related to employer contributions to the domestic retirement plans was, in millions, \$5.3, \$5.0, and \$4.5 for fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Employees of certain foreign subsidiaries are covered by local pension or retirement plans. Total expense related to employer contributions to these foreign plans for fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010 was, in millions, \$0.3, \$0.5, and \$0.6, respectively.

Severance Plans:

The Company maintains severance plans for all domestic employees which provide severance benefits to eligible employees meeting the plans' qualifications, primarily involuntary termination without cause. There are no statutory requirements for the Company to contribute to the plans, nor do employees contribute to the plans. The plans hold no assets. Benefits are paid using available cash on hand when eligible employees meet plan qualifications for payment. Benefits are based upon an employee's years of service and accumulate up to certain limits specified in the plans and include both salary and an allowance for medical benefits. The components and changes in the Benefit Obligation, Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), and Net Periodic Benefit Cost are as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	June 30	
	2012	2011
Changes and Components of Benefit Obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 5,073	\$ 5,900
Service cost	811	934
Interest cost	189	264
Actuarial (gain) loss for the period	(1,265)	(1,501)
Benefits paid	(88)	(524)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 4,720	\$ 5,073
Balance in current liabilities	\$ 828	\$ 890
Balance in noncurrent liabilities	3,892	4,183
Total benefit obligation recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets	\$ 4,720	\$ 5,073

(Amounts in Thousands)	June 30	
	2012	2011
Changes and Components in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (before tax):		
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) at beginning of year	\$ 2,771	\$ 5,332
Change in unrecognized prior service cost	(286)	(286)
Net change in unrecognized actuarial loss	(1,898)	(2,275)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) at end of year	\$ 587	\$ 2,771
Balance in unrecognized prior service cost	\$ 771	\$ 1,057
Balance in unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss	(184)	1,714
Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) recognized in Share Owners' Equity	\$ 587	\$ 2,771

(Amounts in Thousands)	Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost (before tax):			
Service cost	\$ 811	\$ 934	\$ 854
Interest cost	189	264	408
Amortization of prior service cost	286	286	285
Amortization of actuarial (gain) loss	633	774	753
Net periodic benefit cost recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income	\$ 1,919	\$ 2,258	\$ 2,300

The benefit cost in the above table includes only normal recurring levels of severance activity, as estimated using an actuarial method and management judgment. Unusual or non-recurring severance actions, such as those disclosed in Note 17 - Restructuring Expense of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, are not estimable using actuarial methods and are expensed in accordance with the applicable U.S. GAAP.

The Company amortizes prior service costs on a straight-line basis over the average remaining service period of employees that were active at the time of the plan initiation and amortizes actuarial (gain) loss on a straight-line basis over the average

remaining service period of employees expected to receive benefits under the plan.

The estimated prior service cost and actuarial net (gain) loss for the severance plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year are, pre-tax in thousands, \$286 and \$(32), respectively.

Assumptions used to determine fiscal year end benefit obligations are as follows:

	2012	2011
Discount Rate	3.3%	4.8%
Rate of Compensation Increase	4.0%	4.0%

Weighted average assumptions used to determine fiscal year net periodic benefit costs are as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Discount Rate	4.1%	5.0%	6.2%
Rate of Compensation Increase	4.0%	4.0%	3.3%

Note 7 Stock Compensation Plans

On August 19, 2003, the Board of Directors adopted the 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"), which was approved by the Company's Share Owners on October 21, 2008. Under the 2003 Plan, 2,500,000 shares of Common Stock were reserved for restricted stock, restricted share units, unrestricted share grants, incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, performance shares, performance units, and stock appreciation rights for grant to officers and other key employees of the Company and to members of the Board of Directors who are not employees. The 2003 Plan is a ten-year plan. The Company also has stock options outstanding under a former stock incentive plan, which is described below. The pre-tax compensation cost that was charged against income for all of the plans was \$1.4 million, \$1.3 million, and \$1.8 million in fiscal year 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The total income tax benefit for stock compensation arrangements was \$0.6 million, \$0.5 million, and \$0.7 million in fiscal year 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The Company generally uses treasury shares for fulfillment of option exercises and issuance of performance shares.

Performance Shares:

The Company awards performance shares to officers and other key employees under the 2003 Plan. Under these awards, a number of shares will be issued to each participant based upon the attainment of the applicable bonus percentage calculated under the Company's profit sharing incentive bonus plan as applied to a total potential share award made and approved by the Compensation and Governance Committee. Performance shares are vested when issued shortly after the end of the fiscal year in which the performance measurement period is complete and are issued as Class A and Class B common shares. Certain outstanding performance shares are applicable to performance measurement periods in future fiscal years and will be measured at fair value when the performance targets are established in future fiscal years. The contractual life of performance shares ranges from one year to five years. If a participant is not employed by the Company on the date shares are issued, the performance share award is forfeited, except in the case of death, retirement at age 62 or older, total permanent disability, or certain other circumstances described in the Company's employment policy. Additionally, to the extent performance conditions are not fully attained, performance shares are forfeited.

A summary of performance share activity under the 2003 Plan during fiscal year 2012 is presented below:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Performance shares outstanding at July 1, 2011	1,563,278	\$5.10
Granted	800,150	\$5.46
Vested	(187,915)	\$5.09
Forfeited	(430,113)	\$5.09
Performance shares outstanding at June 30, 2012	<u>1,745,400</u>	\$5.45

As of June 30, 2012, there was approximately \$2.5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to performance shares,

based on the latest estimated attainment of performance goals. That cost is expected to be recognized over annual performance periods ending August 2012 through August 2016, with a weighted average vesting period of one year, six months. The fair value of performance shares is based on the stock price at the date of grant, reduced by the present value of dividends normally paid over the vesting period which are not payable on outstanding performance share awards. The weighted average grant date fair value was \$5.46; \$5.10; and \$6.25 for performance share awards granted in fiscal year 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. During fiscal year 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, 187,915; 141,049; and 140,832 performance shares vested at a fair value of \$1.0 million, \$0.9 million, and \$1.1 million. These shares are the total number of shares vested, prior to the reduction of shares withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations. The number of shares presented in the above table, the amounts of unrecognized compensation, and the weighted average period include performance shares awarded that are applicable to future performance measurement periods and will be measured at fair value when the performance targets are established in future fiscal years.

Unrestricted Share Grants:

Under the 2003 Plan, unrestricted shares may be granted to employees and members of the Board of Directors as consideration for service to the Company. Unrestricted share grants do not have vesting periods, holding periods, restrictions on sale, or other restrictions. The fair value of unrestricted shares is based on the stock price at the date of the award. During fiscal year 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, the Company granted a total of 22,187; 46,977; and 19,662 unrestricted shares of Class B common stock at an average grant date fair value of \$5.95, \$6.71, and \$7.63, for a total fair value of \$0.1 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million. These shares are the total number of shares granted, prior to the reduction of shares withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations. These shares were awarded to non-employee members of the Board of Directors as compensation for director's fees, as a result of directors' elections to receive unrestricted shares in lieu of cash payment. Director's fees are expensed over the period that directors earn the compensation. Other unrestricted shares were awarded to officers as consideration for their service to the Company.

Restricted Share Units:

Restricted Share Units (RSU) were awarded to officers and other key employees under the 2003 Plan in fiscal years prior to fiscal year 2012. As of June 30, 2012, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to RSU compensation arrangements awarded under the 2003 Plan as all RSU's had vested. The total fair value of RSU awards vested during fiscal year 2012, 2011, and 2010 was, in millions, \$0, \$0, and \$3.4, respectively.

Stock Options:

The Company has stock options outstanding under a former stock incentive plan. The 1996 Stock Incentive Program, which was approved by the Company's Share Owners on October 22, 1996, allowed the issuance of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, and performance share awards to officers and other key employees of the Company and to members of the Board of Directors who are not employees. The 1996 Stock Incentive Program will continue to have options outstanding through fiscal year 2013. No shares remain available for new grants under the 1996 Stock Incentive Program.

There were no stock option grants awarded during fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010. For outstanding awards, the fair value at the date of the grant was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Options outstanding are exercisable five years after the date of grant and expire ten years after the date of grant. Stock options are forfeited when employment terminates, except in the case of retirement at age 62 or older, death, permanent disability, or certain other circumstances described in the Company's employment policy.

A summary of stock option activity during fiscal year 2012 is presented below:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at July 1, 2011	619,585	\$15.10		
Granted	—	\$—		
Exercised	—	\$—		
Forfeited	(1,500)	\$15.06		
Expired	(139,585)	\$15.24		
Options outstanding at June 30, 2012	<u>478,500</u>	\$15.06	4 months	\$—
Options vested and exercisable at June 30, 2012	<u>478,500</u>	\$15.06	4 months	\$—

No options were exercised during fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010.

Note 8 Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Income tax benefits associated with net operating losses of, in thousands, \$5,698 expire from fiscal year 2013 to 2034. Income tax benefits associated with tax credit carryforwards of, in thousands, \$2,734, expire from fiscal year 2016 to 2026. As of June 30, 2012, the Company was in a cumulative three-year domestic income position, after adjustment for permanent items. In evaluating whether a valuation allowance was warranted for the U.S. federal net deferred tax asset as of June 30, 2012, management weighed both positive and negative evidence and determined that it was more likely than not that all of the deferred tax asset would be realized, and accordingly, a valuation allowance for the U.S. federal net deferred tax asset was not required. A valuation reserve was provided as of June 30, 2012 for deferred tax assets relating to certain foreign and state net operating losses of, in thousands, \$1,761, and, in thousands, \$150 related to other deferred tax assets that the Company currently believes are more likely than not to remain unrealized in the future. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, the valuation reserve was reduced primarily due to the expiration of a state tax credit.

The components of the deferred tax assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, were as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	2012	2011
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Receivables	\$ 1,492	\$ 1,420
Inventory	2,009	2,409
Employee benefits	640	608
Deferred compensation	12,885	12,092
Other current liabilities	1,313	1,583
Warranty reserve	767	698
Credit carryforwards	2,734	6,272
Restructuring	107	3,173
Goodwill	3,510	4,011
Net operating loss carryforward	5,698	5,749
Miscellaneous	4,322	2,698
Valuation Allowance	(1,911)	(6,698)
Total asset	<u>\$ 33,566</u>	<u>\$ 34,015</u>
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		
Property & equipment	\$ 10,075	\$ 6,986
Capitalized software	62	115
Net foreign currency gains	—	1,677
Miscellaneous	494	597
Total liability	<u>\$ 10,631</u>	<u>\$ 9,375</u>
Net Deferred Income Taxes	<u>\$ 22,935</u>	<u>\$ 24,640</u>

The components of income (loss) before taxes on income are as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
United States	\$ 7,831	\$ (2,966)	\$ (8,434)
Foreign	9,871	7,403	14,402
Total income before income taxes on income	<u>\$ 17,702</u>	<u>\$ 4,437</u>	<u>\$ 5,968</u>

Foreign unremitted earnings of entities not included in the United States tax return have been included in the consolidated financial statements without giving effect to the United States taxes that may be payable on distribution to the United States because it is not anticipated such earnings will be remitted to the United States. The aggregate unremitted earnings of the Company's foreign subsidiaries for which a deferred income tax liability has not been recorded was approximately \$80.3 million as of June 30, 2012. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability on unremitted earnings is not practicable.

The provision (benefit) for income taxes is composed of the following items:

(Amounts in Thousands)	Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Currently Payable (Refundable):			
Federal	\$ 954	\$ (2,527)	\$ (6,768)
Foreign	1,849	(130)	3,474
State	877	150	(305)
Total current	<u>3,680</u>	<u>(2,507)</u>	<u>(3,599)</u>
Deferred Taxes:			
Federal	1,784	1,090	1,407
Foreign	970	1,509	(1,553)
State	(366)	(577)	(1,090)
Total deferred	<u>2,388</u>	<u>2,022</u>	<u>(1,236)</u>
Total provision (benefit) for income taxes	<u>\$ 6,068</u>	<u>\$ (485)</u>	<u>\$ (4,835)</u>

A reconciliation of the statutory U.S. income tax rate to the Company's effective income tax rate follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	Year Ended June 30					
	2012		2011		2010	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Tax computed at U.S. federal statutory rate.	\$ 6,196	35.0%	\$ 1,553	35.0 %	\$ 2,089	35.0 %
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	332	1.9	(277)	(6.3)	(907)	(15.2)
Foreign tax effect	(639)	(3.6)	(1,213)	(27.3)	(3,120)	(52.3)
Tax-exempt interest income.	—	—	—	—	(169)	(2.8)
Research credit	(247)	(1.4)	(751)	(16.9)	(674)	(11.3)
Foreign subsidiary land and building gain.	—	—	—	—	(2,236)	(37.5)
Other - net	426	2.4	203	4.6	182	3.1
Total provision (benefit) for income taxes	<u>\$ 6,068</u>	<u>34.3%</u>	<u>\$ (485)</u>	<u>(10.9)%</u>	<u>\$ (4,835)</u>	<u>(81.0)%</u>

Net cash payments (refunds) for income taxes were, in thousands, \$1,504, \$(2,851), and \$8,866 in fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Changes in the unrecognized tax benefit, excluding accrued interest and penalties, during fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010 were as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	2012	2011	2010
Beginning balance - July 1	\$ 2,499	\$ 2,466	\$ 2,165
Tax positions related to prior fiscal years:			
Additions	250	312	532
Reductions	(84)	(77)	(130)
Tax positions related to current fiscal year:			
Additions	—	96	74
Reductions	—	(42)	—
Settlements	—	(74)	(36)
Lapses in statute of limitations	(41)	(182)	(139)
Ending balance - June 30	<u>\$ 2,624</u>	<u>\$ 2,499</u>	<u>\$ 2,466</u>
Portion that, if recognized, would reduce tax expense and effective tax rate	\$ 2,190	\$ 2,125	\$ 2,097

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes line of the Consolidated Statements of Income. Amounts accrued for interest and penalties were as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	As of June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Accrued Interest and Penalties:			
Interest	\$ 256	\$ 230	\$ 311
Penalties	85	86	117

Accrued interest and penalties are not included in the tabular roll forward of unrecognized tax benefits above. Interest and penalties income/(expense) recognized for fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010 were, in thousands, \$(2), \$107, and \$72, respectively.

The Company, or one of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, files U.S. federal income tax returns and income tax returns in various state, local, and foreign jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to any significant U.S. federal tax examinations by tax authorities for years before fiscal year 2008. The Company is subject to various state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years after June 30, 2002 and various foreign jurisdictions for years after June 30, 2004. The Company does not expect the change in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits in the next 12 months to have a significant impact on the results of operations or the financial position of the Company.

Note 9 Common Stock

On a fiscal year basis, shares of Class B Common Stock are entitled to an additional \$0.02 per share dividend more than the dividends paid on Class A Common Stock, provided that dividends are paid on the Company's Class A Common Stock. The owners of both Class A and Class B Common Stock are entitled to share pro-rata, irrespective of class, in the distribution of the Company's available assets upon dissolution.

Owners of Class B Common Stock are entitled to elect, as a class, one member of the Company's Board of Directors. In addition, owners of Class B Common Stock are entitled to full voting powers, as a class, with respect to any consolidation, merger, sale, lease, exchange, mortgage, pledge, or other disposition of all or substantially all of the Company's fixed assets, or dissolution of the Company. Otherwise, except as provided by statute with respect to certain amendments to the Articles of Incorporation, the owners of Class B Common Stock have no voting rights, and the entire voting power is vested in the Class A Common Stock, which has one vote per share. The Habig families own directly or share voting power in excess of 50% of the Class A Common Stock of Kimball International, Inc. The owner of a share of Class A Common Stock may, at their option, convert such share into one share of Class B Common Stock at any time.

If dividends are not paid on shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock for a period of thirty-six consecutive months, or if at any time the number of shares of Class A Common Stock issued and outstanding is less than 15% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of both Class A and Class B Common Stock, then all shares of Class B Common Stock shall automatically have the same rights and privileges as the Class A Common Stock, with full and equal voting rights and with equal rights to receive dividends as and if declared by the Board of Directors.

Note 10 Fair Value

The Company categorizes assets and liabilities measured at fair value into three levels based upon the assumptions (inputs) used to price the assets or liabilities. Level 1 provides the most reliable measure of fair value, whereas level 3 generally requires significant management judgment. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.
- Level 2: Observable inputs other than those included in level 1. For example, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs reflecting management's own assumptions about the inputs used in pricing the asset or liability.

The Company's policy is to recognize transfers between these levels as of the end of each quarterly reporting period. There were no transfers between these levels during fiscal year 2012.

Financial Instruments Recognized at Fair Value

The following methods and assumptions were used to measure fair value:

Financial Instrument	Level	Valuation Technique/Inputs Used
Cash Equivalents	1	Market - Quoted market prices
Derivative Assets: Foreign exchange contracts	2	Market - Based on observable market inputs using standard calculations, such as time value, forward interest rate yield curves, and current spot rates, considering counterparty credit risk
Derivative Assets: Stock warrants	3	<p>Market - Based on a probability-weighted Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following inputs (level 3 input values indicated in parenthesis): risk-free interest rate (0.69%), historical stock price volatility (97.1%) and weighted average expected term (4 years, 5 months). Enterprise value was estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation.</p> <p>Stock warrants are revalued and analyzed for reasonableness on a quarterly basis. The level 3 inputs used are the standard inputs used in the Black-Scholes model. Input values are based on publicly available information (Federal Reserve interest rates) and internally-developed information (historical stock price volatility of comparable investments) and remaining expected term of warrants.</p> <p>Significant increases (decreases) in the historical stock price volatility, expected life, and enterprise value in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. The inputs do not have any interrelationships.</p>
Trading securities: Mutual funds held by nonqualified supplemental employee retirement plan	1	Market - Quoted market prices
Derivative Liabilities: Foreign exchange contracts	2	Market - Based on observable market inputs using standard calculations, such as time value, forward interest rate yield curves, and current spot rates adjusted for the Company's non-performance risk

Recurring Fair Value Measurements:

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the fair values of financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis using the market approach are categorized as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	June 30, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Derivatives: Foreign exchange contracts	—	2,278	—	2,278
Derivatives: Stock warrants	—	—	911	911
Trading Securities: Mutual funds held by nonqualified supplemental employee retirement plan	16,922	—	—	16,922
Total assets at fair value	<u>\$ 16,922</u>	<u>\$ 2,278</u>	<u>\$ 911</u>	<u>\$ 20,111</u>
Liabilities				
Derivatives: Foreign exchange contracts	\$ —	\$ 799	\$ —	\$ 799
Total liabilities at fair value	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 799</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 799</u>

(Amounts in Thousands)	June 30, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Cash equivalents	\$ 32,021	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 32,021
Derivatives: Foreign exchange contracts	—	1,044	—	1,044
Derivatives: Stock warrants	—	—	1,437	1,437
Trading Securities: Mutual funds held by nonqualified supplemental employee retirement plan	16,138	—	—	16,138
Total assets at fair value	<u>\$ 48,159</u>	<u>\$ 1,044</u>	<u>\$ 1,437</u>	<u>\$ 50,640</u>
Liabilities				
Derivatives: Foreign exchange contracts	\$ —	\$ 1,684	\$ —	\$ 1,684
Total liabilities at fair value	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,684</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,684</u>

During fiscal year 2010, the Company purchased convertible debt securities of \$2.3 million and stock warrants of \$0.4 million of a privately-held company. During fiscal year 2011, the convertible debt securities experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair market value resulting in a \$1.2 million impairment loss and, upon a qualified financing, were subsequently converted to non-marketable equity securities. The investment in non-marketable equity securities is accounted for as a cost-method investment and is included in the Financial Instruments Not Carried At Fair Value section that follows. The revaluation of stock warrants resulted in a \$1.0 million derivative gain as a result of the qualified financing. During fiscal year 2012, the privately-held company experienced delays in their start-up, and therefore initiated another round of financing that the Company chose not to participate in, which resulted in the automatic conversion of preferred shares and warrants to common shares and warrants. Upon the conversion, the equity securities and warrants were revalued, resulting in an impairment loss of \$0.7 million on the equity securities and a \$0.5 million derivative loss on the stock warrants during fiscal year 2012.

See Note 11 - Derivative Instruments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the stock warrants. See Note 12 - Investments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the convertible debt securities and non-marketable equity securities.

The other changes in fair value of Level 3 investment assets during fiscal year 2012 were immaterial, and no purchases or sales of Level 3 assets occurred during the period.

The nonqualified supplemental employee retirement plan (SERP) assets consist of equity funds, balanced funds, a bond fund, and a money market fund. The SERP investment assets are exactly offset by a SERP liability which represents the Company's obligation to distribute SERP funds to participants. See Note 12 - Investments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the SERP.

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements:

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis, but are subject to fair value adjustments when events or circumstances indicate a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the asset. Assets that are written down to fair value when impaired are not subsequently adjusted to fair value unless further impairment occurs.

Non-recurring fair value adjustment	Level	Valuation Technique/Inputs Used
Impairment of assets held for sale (real estate)	3	Market - Probability-weighted cash flow calculation using estimated potential selling prices.
Impairment of long-lived assets (intangible asset and property & equipment)	3	Market - Probability-weighted discounted cash flow calculation using estimated future cash flows.

Due to a decline in the market value of the held for sale EMS facility, the Company recognized a pre-tax impairment loss of \$0.6 million during fiscal year 2012. Also during fiscal year 2012, the Company recognized impairment of, in millions, \$0.3 and \$0.1 related to intangible product rights and equipment, respectively, for a product line which is near the end of its production period.

Financial Instruments Not Carried At Fair Value:

Financial instruments that are not reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value that have carrying amounts which approximate fair value include the following:

Financial Instrument	Level	Valuation Technique/Inputs Used
Notes receivable	2	Market - Price approximated based on the assumed collection of receivables in the normal course of business, taking into account the customer's non-performance risk
Non-marketable equity securities (cost-method investments, which carry shares at cost except in the event of impairment)	3	<p>Cost Method, with Impairment Recognized Using a Market-Based Valuation Technique - See the explanation below the table regarding the method used to periodically estimate the fair value of cost-method investments.</p> <p>In the event of impairment, the valuation is based on a probability-weighted Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following inputs (level 3 input values indicated in parenthesis): risk-free interest rate (0.69%), historical stock price volatility (97.1%) and weighted average expected term (4 years, 5 months). Enterprise value was estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation.</p> <p>The level 3 inputs used are the standard inputs used in the Black-Scholes model. Input values are based on publicly available information (Federal Reserve interest rates) and internally-developed information (historical stock price volatility of comparable investments) and remaining expected holding period of securities.</p> <p>Significant increases (decreases) in the historical stock price volatility, expected life, and enterprise value in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. The inputs do not have any interrelationships.</p>
Long-term debt (carried at amortized cost)	3	Income - Price estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on quoted long-term debt market rates, taking into account the Company's non-performance risk

Investments in non-marketable equity securities are accounted for using the cost method if the Company does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. On a periodic basis, but no less frequently than quarterly, these investments are assessed for impairment when there are events or changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. If a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment has occurred and is deemed to be other-than-temporary, the fair value of the investment is estimated, and the amount by which the carrying value of the cost-method investment exceeds its fair value is recorded as an impairment loss.

The carrying value of the Company's short-term financial instruments, including cash deposit accounts, trade accounts receivable, prepaid and deposit accounts, trade accounts payable, accrued expenses and dividends payable, approximate fair value due to the relatively short maturity and immaterial non-performance risk of such instruments. These financial instruments are categorized as Level 2 financial instruments.

Note 11 Derivative Instruments**Foreign Exchange Contracts:**

The Company operates internationally and is therefore exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations in the normal course of its business. The Company's primary means of managing this exposure is to utilize natural hedges, such as aligning currencies used in the supply chain with the sale currency. To the extent natural hedging techniques do not fully offset currency risk, the Company uses derivative instruments with the objective of reducing the residual exposure to certain foreign currency rate movements. Factors considered in the decision to hedge an underlying market exposure include the materiality of the risk, the volatility of the market, the duration of the hedge, the degree to which the underlying exposure is committed to, and the availability, effectiveness, and cost of derivative instruments. Derivative instruments are only utilized for risk management purposes and are not used for speculative or trading purposes.

The Company uses forward contracts designated as cash flow hedges to protect against foreign currency exchange rate risks

inherent in forecasted transactions denominated in a foreign currency. Foreign exchange contracts are also used to hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks related to intercompany balances denominated in currencies other than the functional currencies. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding foreign exchange contracts to hedge currencies against the U.S. dollar in the aggregate notional amount of \$25.3 million and to hedge currencies against the Euro in the aggregate notional amount of 34.7 million EUR. The notional amounts are indicators of the volume of derivative activities but are not indicators of the potential gain or loss on the derivatives.

In limited cases due to unexpected changes in forecasted transactions, cash flow hedges may cease to meet the criteria to be designated as cash flow hedges. Depending on the type of exposure hedged, the Company may either purchase a derivative contract in the opposite position of the undesignated hedge or may retain the hedge until it matures if the hedge continues to provide an adequate offset in earnings against the currency revaluation impact of foreign currency denominated liabilities.

The fair value of outstanding derivative instruments is recognized on the balance sheet as a derivative asset or liability. When derivatives are settled with the counterparty, the derivative asset or liability is relieved and cash flow is impacted for the net settlement. For derivative instruments that meet the criteria of hedging instruments under FASB guidance, the effective portions of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument are initially recorded net of related tax effect in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), a component of Share Owners' Equity, and are subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period or periods during which the hedged transaction is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of the derivative gain or loss is reported in the Non-operating income or expense line item on the Consolidated Statements of Income immediately. The gain or loss associated with derivative instruments that are not designated as hedging instruments or that cease to meet the criteria for hedging under FASB guidance is also reported in the Non-operating income or expense line item on the Consolidated Statements of Income immediately.

Based on fair values as of June 30, 2012, the Company estimates that approximately \$0.1 million of pre-tax derivative losses deferred in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) will be reclassified into earnings, along with the earnings effects of related forecasted transactions, within the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013. Losses on foreign exchange contracts are generally offset by gains in operating costs in the income statement when the underlying hedged transaction is recognized in earnings. Because gains or losses on foreign exchange contracts fluctuate partially based on currency spot rates, the future effect on earnings of the cash flow hedges alone is not determinable, but in conjunction with the underlying hedged transactions, the result is expected to be a decline in currency risk. The maximum length of time the Company had hedged its exposure to the variability in future cash flows was 12 months as of both June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011.

Stock Warrants:

In conjunction with the Company's investments in convertible debt securities of a privately-held company during fiscal year 2010, the Company received common and preferred stock warrants which provide the right to purchase the privately-held company's equity securities at a specified exercise price.

As part of the June 2011 qualified financing related to the convertible debt securities, the latest preferred stock offering price of warrants was modified to a \$0.25 per share exercise price (originally based on the previous offering price of \$1.50), and the number of warrants was modified to 11 million shares (originally 1,833,000 shares). The qualified financing did not impact the common warrants, which remained at a \$0.15 per share exercise price (2,750,000 shares). The revaluation of warrants due to the change in terms and the valuation of the underlying business resulted in a \$1.0 million gain during fiscal year 2011, recognized in the Non-operating income line item on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

During fiscal year 2012, the privately-held company experienced delays in their start-up, and therefore initiated another round of financing that the Company chose not to participate in, which resulted in the automatic conversion of the preferred warrants to common warrants. Upon the conversion, the stock warrants were revalued resulting in a \$0.5 million derivative loss on stock warrants during fiscal year 2012.

The value of the stock warrants fluctuates primarily in relation to the value of the privately-held company's underlying securities, either providing an appreciation in value or potentially expiring with no value. The stock warrants expire in June 2017.

See Note 10 - Fair Value of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities and Note 16 - Comprehensive Income of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the amount and changes in derivative gains and losses deferred in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Information on the location and amounts of derivative fair values in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and derivative gains and losses in the Consolidated Statements of Income are presented below.

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets

Asset Derivatives				Liability Derivatives		
(Amounts in Thousands)	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value As of		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value As of	
		June 30 2012	June 30 2011		June 30 2012	June 30 2011
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:						
Foreign exchange contracts, . . .	Prepaid expenses and other current assets, . . .	\$ 1,058	\$ 644	Accrued expenses	\$ 799	\$ 415
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:						
Foreign exchange contracts, . . .	Prepaid expenses and other current assets, . . .	1,220	400	Accrued expenses	—	1,269
Stock warrants,	Other assets (long-term)	911	1,437			
Total derivatives,		\$ 3,189	\$ 2,481		\$ 799	\$ 1,684

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Amounts in Thousands)	June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Amount of Pre-Tax Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (OCI) on Derivatives (Effective Portion):			
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (192)	\$ 1,063	\$ 2,494

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on Consolidated Statements of Income

(Amounts in Thousands)		Fiscal Year Ended June 30		
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Location of Gain or (Loss)	2012	2011	2,010
Amount of Pre-Tax Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion):				
Foreign exchange contracts	Net Sales	\$ —	\$ --	\$ 15
Foreign exchange contracts	Cost of Sales	(1,415)	1,674	143
Foreign exchange contracts	Non-operating income/expense	363	(121)	36
Total		\$ (1,052)	\$ 1,553	\$ 194
Amount of Pre-Tax Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Ineffective Portion):				
Foreign exchange contracts	Non-operating income/expense	\$ (17)	\$ 2	\$ 44
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments				
Amount of Pre-Tax Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives:				
Foreign exchange contracts	Non-operating income/expense	\$ 2,513	\$ (4,322)	\$ 1,355
Stock warrants	Non-operating income/expense	(526)	1,041	(7)
Total		\$ 1,987	\$ (3,281)	\$ 1,348
Total Derivative Pre-Tax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income		\$ 918	\$ (1,726)	\$ 1,586

Note 12 Investments

Municipal Securities:

The Company's investment portfolio included available-for-sale securities which were comprised of exempt securities issued by municipalities ("Municipal Securities"). During fiscal year 2010, the Company sold all of its municipal securities and thus had no municipal securities outstanding as of June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Activity for the municipal securities that were classified as available-for-sale was as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	For the Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Proceeds from sales.	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 28,937
Gross realized gains from sale of available-for-sale securities included in earnings	—	—	639
Net unrealized holding gain (loss) included in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).	—	—	(131)
Net (gains) losses reclassified out of Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	—	—	(639)

Realized gains and losses are reported in the Other Income (Expense) category of the Consolidated Statements of Income. The cost of each individual security was used in computing the realized gains and losses. No other-than-temporary impairment was recorded on municipal securities during fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010.

Convertible Debt and Non-marketable Equity Securities:

During fiscal year 2010, the Company purchased convertible debt securities of a privately-held company, which were initially allocated a value of \$2.3 million. Interest accrued on the debt securities at a rate of 8.00% per annum and was due with the principal in June 2011. The Company also received stock warrants to purchase the common and preferred stock of the privately-held company at a specified exercise price, which are discussed in Note 11 - Derivative Instruments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

During fiscal year 2011, the convertible debt securities experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair market value resulting in a \$1.2 million impairment loss and, upon a qualified financing, were subsequently converted to preferred shares. The conversion of the convertible notes to preferred shares had no earnings impact. The preferred shares are non-marketable and are accounted for as a cost-method investment, which carries the shares at cost except in the event of impairment. The preferred shares had a carrying value of \$1.8 million at June 30, 2011.

During fiscal year 2012, the privately-held company experienced delays in their start-up, and therefore initiated another round of financing that the Company chose not to participate in, which resulted in the automatic conversion of preferred shares to common shares. Upon the conversion, the equity securities were revalued which resulted in an impairment loss of \$0.7 million during fiscal year 2012. The common shares had a carrying value of \$1.1 million at June 30, 2012.

The privately-held investment is included in the Other Assets line of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note 10 - Fair Value of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the valuation of these securities. The investment does not rise to the level of a material variable interest or a controlling interest in the privately-held company which would require consolidation.

Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan Investments:

The Company maintains a self-directed supplemental employee retirement plan (SERP) for executive employees. The SERP utilizes a rabbi trust, and therefore assets in the SERP portfolio are subject to creditor claims in the event of bankruptcy. The Company recognizes SERP investment assets on the balance sheet at current fair value. A SERP liability of the same amount is recorded on the balance sheet representing the Company's obligation to distribute SERP funds to participants. The SERP investment assets are classified as trading, and accordingly, realized and unrealized gains and losses are recognized in income in the Other Income (Expense) category. Adjustments made to revalue the SERP liability are also recognized in income as selling and administrative expenses and exactly offset valuation adjustments on SERP investment assets. The change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 was, in thousands, \$(483), \$2,611, and \$1,385, respectively. SERP asset and liability balances were as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	June 30	
	2012	2011
SERP investment - current asset.	\$ 5,899	\$ 5,604
SERP investment - other long-term asset	11,023	10,534
Total SERP investment.	<u>\$ 16,922</u>	<u>\$ 16,138</u>
SERP obligation - current liability	\$ 5,899	\$ 5,604
SERP obligation - other long-term liability	11,023	10,534
Total SERP obligation.	<u>\$ 16,922</u>	<u>\$ 16,138</u>

Note 13 Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consisted of:

(Amounts in Thousands)	June 30	
	2012	2011
Taxes	\$ 4,193	\$ 8,290
Compensation	22,601	26,445
Retirement plan	5,189	4,809
Insurance	3,875	3,598
Restructuring	269	7,958
Other expenses	12,333	15,216
Total accrued expenses	<u>\$ 48,460</u>	<u>\$ 66,316</u>

Note 14 Segment and Geographic Area Information

Management organizes the Company into segments based upon differences in products and services offered in each segment. The segments and their principal products and services are as follows. The EMS segment provides engineering and manufacturing services which utilize common production and support capabilities to a variety of industries globally. The EMS segment focuses on electronic assemblies that have high durability requirements and are sold on a contract basis and produced to customers' specifications. The EMS segment currently sells primarily to customers in the medical, automotive, industrial, and public safety industries. The Furniture segment provides furniture for the office and hospitality industries, sold under the Company's family of brand names. Each segment's product line offerings consist of similar products and services sold within various industries.

Included in the EMS segment were sales to one major customer. Sales to Bayer AG affiliates totaled, in millions, \$5.0, \$135.7, and \$169.6 in fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, representing 0%, 11%, and 15% of consolidated net sales, respectively, for such periods.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements with additional explanation of segment allocations as follows. Corporate assets and operating costs are allocated to the segments based on the extent to which each segment uses a centralized function, where practicable. However, certain common costs have been allocated among segments less precisely than would be required for standalone financial information prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Unallocated corporate assets include cash and cash equivalents, investments, and other assets not allocated to segments. Unallocated corporate income consists of income not allocated to segments for purposes of evaluating segment performance and includes income from corporate investments and other non-operational items. Sales between the Furniture segment and EMS segment are not material.

The Company evaluates segment performance based upon several financial measures, including economic profit, which incorporates a segment's cost of capital when evaluating financial performance, operating income, and net income. Operating income and net income are reported for each segment as they are the measures most consistent with the measurement principles used in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company aggregates multiple operating segments into each reportable segment. The aggregated operating segments have similar economic characteristics and meet the other aggregation criteria required by U.S. GAAP.

At or For the Year Ended June 30, 2012

(Amounts in Thousands)	Electronic Manufacturing Services	Furniture	Unallocated Corporate and Eliminations	Consolidated
Net Sales	\$ 616,751	\$ 525,310	\$ —	\$ 1,142,061
Depreciation and Amortization	17,590	13,383	—	30,973
Operating Income (Loss)	8,904	11,874	(2,389)	18,389
Interest Income	—	—	430	430
Interest Expense	6	2	27	35
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	2,042	4,837	(811)	6,068
Net Income (Loss) ⁽¹⁾	6,572	6,957	(1,895)	11,634
Total Assets	332,115	183,415	79,986	595,516
Goodwill	2,480	—	—	2,480
Capital Expenditures	13,485	13,458	—	26,943

At or For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

(Amounts in Thousands)	Electronic Manufacturing Services	Furniture	Unallocated Corporate and Eliminations	Consolidated
Net Sales	\$ 721,419	\$ 481,178	\$ —	\$ 1,202,597
Depreciation and Amortization	17,153	14,054	—	31,207
Operating Income (Loss)	5,487	1,077	(4,148)	2,416
Interest Income	—	—	820	820
Interest Expense	22	—	99	121
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(452)	256	(289)	(485)
Net Income ⁽²⁾	4,067	472	383	4,922
Total Assets	377,067	191,275	57,970	626,312
Goodwill	2,644	—	—	2,644
Capital Expenditures	24,863	6,508	—	31,371

At or For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

(Amounts in Thousands)	Electronic Manufacturing Services	Furniture	Unallocated Corporate and Eliminations	Consolidated
Net Sales	\$ 709,133	\$ 413,611	\$ 64	\$ 1,122,808
Depreciation and Amortization	20,570	14,190	—	34,760
Operating Income (Loss)	15,291	(9,374)	(3,226)	2,691
Interest Income	—	—	1,188	1,188
Interest Expense	77	—	65	142
Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes	(361)	(4,104)	(370)	(4,835)
Net Income (Loss) ⁽³⁾	15,731	(5,751)	823	10,803
Total Assets	384,491	182,396	69,864	636,751
Goodwill	2,443	—	—	2,443
Capital Expenditures	22,455	12,336	—	34,791

(1) Includes after-tax restructuring charges of \$2.1 million in fiscal year 2012. The EMS segment and Unallocated Corporate and Eliminations recorded, respectively, \$1.7 million expense and \$0.4 million expense. See Note 17 - Restructuring Expense of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

(2) Includes after-tax restructuring charges of \$0.6 million in fiscal year 2011. The EMS segment and Unallocated Corporate and Eliminations recorded, respectively, \$0.5 million expense and \$0.1 million expense. See Note 17 - Restructuring Expense of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

(3) Includes after-tax restructuring charges of \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2010. The EMS segment, the Furniture segment,

and Unallocated Corporate and Eliminations recorded, respectively, \$1.2 million expense, \$0.1 million income, and \$0.1 million expense. See Note 17 - Restructuring Expense of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion. The EMS segment also recorded \$2.0 million of after-tax income resulting from settlement proceeds related to an antitrust lawsuit of which the Company was a class member and a \$7.7 million after-tax gain from the sale of the facility and land in Poland.

Geographic Area:

The following geographic area data includes net sales based on the location where title transfers and long-lived assets based on physical location. Long-lived assets include property and equipment and other long-term assets such as software.

(Amounts in Thousands)	At or For the Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Net Sales:			
United States.....	\$ 870,080	\$ 817,252	\$ 699,620
Poland ⁽⁴⁾	3,412	132,518	3,877
United Kingdom ⁽⁴⁾	15,603	26,723	113,576
Other Foreign.....	252,966	226,104	305,735
Total net sales.....	<u>\$ 1,142,061</u>	<u>\$ 1,202,597</u>	<u>\$ 1,122,808</u>
Long-Lived Assets:			
United States.....	\$ 129,258	\$ 134,639	\$ 134,115
Poland.....	44,427	47,765	40,905
Other Foreign.....	18,899	21,630	19,563
Total long-lived assets.....	<u>\$ 192,584</u>	<u>\$ 204,034</u>	<u>\$ 194,583</u>

- (4) The decrease in net sales to Poland in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2011 was attributable to the expiration of a contract with one medical customer (Bayer AG). The increase in Poland net sales and the decline in United Kingdom net sales in fiscal year 2011 compared to fiscal year 2010 was due to the transfer of production between these locations which resulted in a change in the shipping destination to Poland.

Note 15 Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share are computed using the two-class common stock method due to the dividend preference of Class B Common Stock. Basic earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus the assumed issuance of common shares and related payment of assumed dividends for all potentially dilutive securities. Earnings per share of Class A and Class B Common Stock are as follows:

EARNINGS PER SHARE

(Amounts in Thousands, Except for Per Share Data)	Year Ended June 30, 2012			Year Ended June 30, 2011			Year Ended June 30, 2010		
	Class A	Class B	Total	Class A	Class B	Total	Class A	Class B	Total
Basic Earnings Per Share:									
Dividends Declared	\$ 1,869	\$ 5,502	\$ 7,371	\$ 1,889	\$ 5,448	\$ 7,337	\$ 1,955	\$ 5,376	\$ 7,331
Less: Unvested Participating Dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	(9)	—	(9)
Dividends to Common Share Owners	1,869	5,502	7,371	1,889	5,448	7,337	1,946	5,376	7,322
Undistributed Earnings (Loss)			4,263			(2,415)			3,472
Less: Earnings (Loss) Allocated to Participating Securities			—			—			(4)
Undistributed Earnings (Loss) Allocated to Common Share Owners	1,169	3,094	4,263	(672)	(1,743)	(2,415)	990	2,478	3,468
Income Available to Common Share Owners	\$ 3,038	\$ 8,596	\$11,634	\$ 1,217	\$ 3,705	\$ 4,922	\$ 2,936	\$ 7,854	\$10,790
Average Basic Common Shares Outstanding	10,387	27,494	37,881	10,493	27,233	37,726	10,694	26,765	37,459
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.31		\$ 0.12	\$ 0.14		\$ 0.27	\$ 0.29	
Diluted Earnings Per Share:									
Dividends Declared and Assumed Dividends on Dilutive Shares	\$ 1,906	\$ 5,502	\$ 7,408	\$ 1,916	\$ 5,448	\$ 7,364	\$ 1,972	\$ 5,377	\$ 7,349
Less: Unvested Participating Dividends	—	—	—	—	—	—	(9)	—	(9)
Dividends and Assumed Dividends to Common Share Owners	1,906	5,502	7,408	1,916	5,448	7,364	1,963	5,377	7,340
Undistributed Earnings (Loss)			4,226			(2,442)			3,454
Less: Earnings (Loss) Allocated to Participating Securities			—			—			(4)
Undistributed Earnings (Loss) Allocated to Common Share Owners	1,175	3,051	4,226	(686)	(1,756)	(2,442)	991	2,459	3,450
Income Available to Common Share Owners	\$ 3,081	\$ 8,553	\$11,634	\$ 1,230	\$ 3,692	\$ 4,922	\$ 2,954	\$ 7,836	\$10,790
Average Diluted Common Shares Outstanding	10,593	27,494	38,087	10,639	27,234	37,873	10,791	26,770	37,561
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.31		\$ 0.12	\$ 0.14		\$ 0.27	\$ 0.29	
Reconciliation of Basic and Diluted EPS Calculations:									
Income Used for Basic EPS Calculation	\$ 3,038	\$ 8,596	\$11,634	\$ 1,217	\$ 3,705	\$ 4,922	\$ 2,936	\$ 7,854	\$10,790
Assumed Dividends Payable on Dilutive Shares:									
Performance shares	37	—	37	27	—	27	17	1	18
Increase (Reduction) of Undistributed Earnings (Loss) - allocated based on Class A and Class B shares	6	(43)	(37)	(14)	(13)	(27)	1	(19)	(18)
Income Used for Diluted EPS Calculation	\$ 3,081	\$ 8,553	\$11,634	\$ 1,230	\$ 3,692	\$ 4,922	\$ 2,954	\$ 7,836	\$10,790
Average Shares Outstanding for Basic EPS Calculation	10,387	27,494	37,881	10,493	27,233	37,726	10,694	26,765	37,459
Dilutive Effect of Average Outstanding:									
Performance shares	206	—	206	146	1	147	97	5	102
Average Shares Outstanding for Diluted EPS Calculation	10,593	27,494	38,087	10,639	27,234	37,873	10,791	26,770	37,561

Included in dividends declared for the basic and diluted earnings per share computation for fiscal year 2010 are dividends computed and accrued on unvested Class A and Class B restricted share units, which were paid by a conversion to the equivalent value of common shares on the vesting date. Restricted share units held by retirement-age participants had a nonforfeitable right to dividends and were deducted from the above dividends and undistributed earnings figures allocable to common Share Owners. All restricted share units vested during fiscal year 2010.

In fiscal year 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, all 508,000, 625,000, and 693,000 average stock options outstanding were antidilutive and were excluded from the dilutive calculation.

Note 16 Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by, and distributions to, Share Owners. Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income (loss), which includes the net change in unrealized gains and losses on investments, foreign currency translation adjustments, the net change in derivative gains and losses, net actuarial change in postemployment severance, and postemployment severance prior service cost.

(Amounts in Thousands)	Year Ended June 30, 2012			Year Ended June 30, 2011			Year Ended June 30, 2010		
	Pre-tax	Tax	Net of Tax	Pre-tax	Tax	Net of Tax	Pre-tax	Tax	Net of Tax
Net income			\$ 11,634			\$ 4,922			\$ 10,803
Other comprehensive income (loss):									
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ (10,156)	\$ 1,922	\$ (8,234)	\$ 13,218	\$ (2,905)	\$ 10,313	\$ (12,672)	\$ 2,288	\$ (10,384)
Postemployment severance actuarial change ..	1,265	(505)	760	1,501	(599)	902	(1,292)	515	(777)
Other fair value changes:									
Available-for-sale securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	(131)	52	(79)
Derivatives	(192)	302	110	1,063	(489)	574	2,494	(587)	1,907
Reclassification to (earnings) loss:									
Foreign currency translation adjustments ⁽¹⁾ ..	(493)	—	(493)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Available-for-sale securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	(639)	255	(384)
Derivatives	1,069	(346)	723	(1,555)	523	(1,032)	(238)	55	(183)
Amortization of prior service costs	286	(114)	172	286	(115)	171	285	(112)	173
Amortization of actuarial change	633	(252)	381	774	(309)	465	753	(300)	453
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (7,588)	\$ 1,007	\$ (6,581)	\$ 15,287	\$ (3,894)	\$ 11,393	\$ (11,440)	\$ 2,166	\$ (9,274)
Total comprehensive income			<u>\$ 5,053</u>			<u>\$ 16,315</u>			<u>\$ 1,529</u>

(1) The reclassification of foreign currency translation adjustments to earnings relates to the final liquidation of a foreign subsidiary.

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax effects, was as follows:

(Amounts in Thousands)	Year Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ (977)	\$ 7,750	\$ (2,563)
Net unrealized loss on derivatives	(3,632)	(4,465)	(4,007)
Postemployment benefits:			
Prior service costs	(464)	(636)	(807)
Net actuarial gain (loss)	110	(1,031)	(2,398)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ (4,963)</u>	<u>\$ 1,618</u>	<u>\$ (9,775)</u>

Note 17 Restructuring Expense

The Company recognized consolidated pre-tax restructuring expense of \$3.4 million, \$1.0 million, and \$2.1 million in fiscal years 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Restructuring plans which were active during fiscal year 2012 are discussed in the sections below.

The EMS Gaylord restructuring plan and the Furniture segment office furniture manufacturing consolidation plan, which were substantially complete prior to fiscal year 2012, are included in the summary table on the following page under the Other Restructuring Plan caption. Due to a decline in the market value of the EMS Gaylord facility, the Company recognized a pre-tax impairment loss, in thousands, of \$572 during fiscal year 2012.

The Company utilizes available market prices and management estimates to determine the fair value of impaired fixed assets. Restructuring charges are included in the Restructuring Expense line item on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

Fremont Restructuring Plan:

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2012, the Company completed a plan to exit a small leased EMS assembly facility located in Fremont, California. This plan had been approved in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011. The Company is contractually obligated on the lease of this facility until August 2013. The Company expects total pre-tax restructuring charges, exclusive of future costs if the Company is unable to sub-lease the facility, to be approximately \$1.1 million, including \$0.2 million related to severance and other employee transition costs, and \$0.9 million related to lease and other exit costs.

European Consolidation Plan:

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2012, the Company completed a plan to expand its European automotive electronics capabilities and to establish a European Medical Center of Expertise near Poznan, Poland. This plan had been approved in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008. The plan was executed in stages as follows:

- The Company successfully completed the move of production from Longford, Ireland, into a former Poznan, Poland facility during the fiscal year 2009 second quarter.
- Construction of a new, larger facility in Poland was completed in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009.
- The Company sold the former Poland facility and land during fiscal year 2010 and recorded a \$6.7 million pre-tax gain which was included in the Other General Income line on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.
- The former Poland facility was leased back until the transfer of the remaining production to the new facility was completed in fiscal year 2011.
- The Company completed the consolidation of its EMS facility located in Wales, United Kingdom into the new facility. Production in Wales ceased and was transferred to the Poland facility in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012. The lease for the Wales facility terminated in the third quarter of fiscal year 2012.

Total pre-tax restructuring charges, excluding the gain on the sale of the former facility and construction of the new facility, related to the consolidation activities were approximately, in millions, \$23.0 consisting of \$20.8 of severance and other employee costs, \$0.4 of property and equipment asset impairment, \$0.4 of lease exit costs, and \$1.4 of other exit costs.

Summary of All Plans

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012							
(Amounts in Thousands)	Accrued June 30, 2011 ⁽³⁾	Amounts Charged Cash	Amounts Charged (Income) Non-cash	Amounts Utilized/ Cash Paid	Adjustments	Accrued June 30, 2012 ⁽³⁾	Total Charges Incurred Since Plan Announcement ⁽⁴⁾	Total Expected Plan Costs ⁽⁴⁾
EMS Segment								
FY 2011 Fremont Restructuring Plan								
Transition and Other Employee Costs	\$ 264	\$ —	\$ 15	\$ (236)	\$ (43)	\$ —	\$ 236	\$ 236
Plant Closure and Other Exit Costs.....	—	830	—	(561)	—	269	850	890
Total.....	\$ 264	\$ 830	\$ 15	\$ (797)	\$ (43)	\$ 269	\$ 1,086	\$ 1,126
FY 2008 European Consolidation Plan								
Transition and Other Employee Costs	\$ 7,694	\$ 937	\$ —	\$ (8,506)	\$ (125) ⁽⁵⁾	\$ —	\$ 20,831	\$ 20,831
Asset Write-downs (Gain on Sale).....	—	—	(148)	148	—	—	374	374
Plant Closure and Other Exit Costs.....	—	1,156	—	(1,156)	—	—	1,814	1,814
Total.....	\$ 7,694	\$ 2,093	\$ (148)	\$ (9,514)	\$ (125)	\$ —	\$ 23,019	\$ 23,019
Total EMS Segment.....	\$ 7,958	\$ 2,923	\$ (133)	\$ (10,311)	\$ (168)	\$ 269	\$ 24,105	\$ 24,145
Unallocated Corporate								
Other Restructuring Plan ⁽¹⁾	—	99	572	(671)	—	—	1,436	1,557
Consolidated Total of All Plans ...	\$ 7,958	\$ 3,022	\$ 439	\$ (10,982)	\$ (168)	\$ 269	\$ 25,541	\$ 25,702

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011					
(Amounts in Thousands)	Accrued June 30, 2010 ⁽³⁾	Amounts Charged Cash	Amounts Charged Non-cash	Amounts Utilized/ Cash Paid	Adjustments	Accrued June 30, 2011 ⁽³⁾
EMS Segment						
FY 2011 Fremont Restructuring Plan						
Transition and Other Employee Costs.	\$ —	\$ 246	\$ 18	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 264
Plant Closure and Other Exit Costs.	—	20	—	(20)	—	—
Total	\$ —	\$ 266	\$ 18	\$ (20)	\$ —	\$ 264
FY 2008 European Consolidation Plan						
Transition and Other Employee Costs.	\$ 9,181	\$ 619	\$ —	\$ (2,776)	\$ 670 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 7,694
Plant Closure and Other Exit Costs.	—	2	—	(2)	—	—
Total	\$ 9,181	\$ 621	\$ —	\$ (2,778)	\$ 670	\$ 7,694
Total EMS Segment.	\$ 9,181	\$ 887	\$ 18	\$ (2,798)	\$ 670	\$ 7,958
Unallocated Corporate						
Other Restructuring Plan ⁽¹⁾	—	104	—	(104)	—	—
Consolidated Total of All Plans.	\$ 9,181	\$ 991	\$ 18	\$ (2,902)	\$ 670	\$ 7,958

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010					
(Amounts in Thousands)	Accrued June 30, 2009 ⁽³⁾	Amounts Charged (Income) Cash	Amounts Charged Non-cash	Amounts Utilized/ Cash Paid	Adjustments	Accrued June 30, 2010 ⁽³⁾
EMS Segment						
FY 2008 European Consolidation Plan						
Transition and Other Employee Costs	\$ 12,288	\$ 1,673	\$ —	\$ (3,681)	\$ (1,099) ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 9,181
Asset Write-downs	—	—	176	(176)	—	—
Plant Closure and Other Exit Costs	—	200	—	(200)	—	—
Total EMS Segment	\$ 12,288	\$ 1,873	\$ 176	\$ (4,057)	\$ (1,099)	\$ 9,181
Furniture Segment						
Other Restructuring Plan ⁽²⁾	—	(83)	—	83	—	—
Unallocated Corporate						
Other Restructuring Plan ⁽²⁾	—	85	—	(85)	—	—
Consolidated Total of All Plans	\$ 12,288	\$ 1,875	\$ 176	\$ (4,059)	\$ (1,099)	\$ 9,181

- (1) The Other Restructuring Plan with charges during fiscal years 2012 and 2011 is the Unallocated Corporate Gaylord restructuring plan initiated in fiscal year 2007.
- (2) Other Restructuring Plans with charges during fiscal year 2010 include the Furniture segment office furniture manufacturing consolidation plan initiated in fiscal year 2009 and the Unallocated Corporate Gaylord restructuring plan initiated in fiscal year 2007.
- (3) Accrued restructuring at June 30, 2012 was \$0.3 million recorded in current liabilities. At June 30, 2011 accrued restructuring was \$8.0 million recorded in current liabilities. At June 30, 2010 accrued restructuring was \$9.2 million consisting of \$2.5 million recorded in current liabilities and \$6.7 million recorded in other long-term liabilities.
- (4) These columns include restructuring plans that were active during fiscal year 2012, including the EMS segment European Consolidation Plan initiated in fiscal year 2008, the EMS segment Fremont Restructuring Plan initiated in fiscal year 2011, and the Unallocated Corporate Gaylord restructuring plan initiated in fiscal year 2007.
- (5) The effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates within the EMS segment due to revaluation of the restructuring liability is included in this amount.

Note 18 Variable Interest Entities

The Company's involvement with variable interest entities (VIEs) is limited to situations in which the Company is not the primary beneficiary as the Company lacks the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance. Thus, consolidation is not required.

The Company is involved with VIEs consisting of an investment in common stock and stock warrants of a privately-held company, a note receivable related to the sale of an Indiana facility, and notes receivable resulting from loans provided to an electronics engineering services firm during fiscal year 2011. The Company also has a business development cooperation agreement with the electronic engineering services firm. For information related to the Company's investment in the privately-held company, see Note 12 - Investments and Note 11 - Derivative Instruments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The combined carrying value of the notes receivable was \$2.6 million and \$2.8 million as of June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, with no reserve, with the short-term portion recorded on the Receivables line and the long-term portion recorded on the Other Assets line of the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Company has no obligation to provide additional funding to the VIEs, and thus its exposure and risk of loss related to the VIEs is limited to the carrying value of the investments and notes receivable. Financial support provided by the Company to the VIEs was limited to the items discussed above during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012.

Note 19 Credit Quality and Allowance for Credit Losses of Notes Receivable

The Company monitors credit quality and associated risks of notes receivable on an individual basis based on criteria such as financial stability of the party and collection experience in conjunction with general economic and market conditions. The due date for the note receivable from the sale of an Indiana facility was extended until June 30, 2014, and the Company continues to hold collateral for this note receivable thereby mitigating the risk of loss. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, none of the outstanding notes receivable were past due.

(Amounts in Thousands)	As of June 30, 2012			As of June 30, 2011		
	Unpaid Balance	Related Allowance	Receivable Net of Allowance	Unpaid Balance	Related Allowance	Receivable Net of Allowance
Note Receivable from Sale of Indiana Facility	\$ 1,409	\$ —	\$ 1,409	\$ 1,334	\$ —	\$ 1,334
Notes Receivable from an Electronics Engineering Services Firm	1,221	—	1,221	1,420	—	1,420
Other Notes Receivable	322	214	108	—	—	—
Total.	<u>\$ 2,952</u>	<u>\$ 214</u>	<u>\$ 2,738</u>	<u>\$ 2,754</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,754</u>

Note 20 Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

(Amounts in Thousands, Except for Per Share Data)	Three Months Ended			
	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30
Fiscal Year 2012:				
Net Sales	\$ 270,635	\$ 296,904	\$ 284,414	\$ 290,108
Gross Profit	46,970	54,320	50,639	58,026
Restructuring Expense	113	1,480	895	930
Net Income (Loss)	(146)	3,197	2,506	6,077
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share:				
Class A	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.16
Class B	\$ —	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.16
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share:				
Class A	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.16
Class B	\$ —	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.16
Fiscal Year 2011:				
Net Sales	\$ 294,676	\$ 310,632	\$ 314,466	\$ 282,823
Gross Profit	47,147	49,576	50,691	47,178
Restructuring Expense	117	368	68	456
Net Income	456	876	3,306	284
Basic Earnings Per Share:				
Class A	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.08	\$ —
Class B	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.01
Diluted Earnings Per Share:				
Class A	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.08	\$ —
Class B	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.01

Item 9 - Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A - Controls and Procedures**(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.**

The Company maintains controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon their evaluation of those controls and procedures performed as of June 30, 2012, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company concluded that its disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b) Management's report on internal control over financial reporting.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules and regulations adopted pursuant thereto, the Company included a report of management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as part of this report. The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012 has been audited by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Management's report and the independent registered public accounting firm's attestation report are included in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements under the captions entitled "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" and "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and are incorporated herein by reference.

(c) Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B - Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10 - Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Directors

The information required by this item with respect to Directors is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the caption "Election of Directors."

Committees

The information required by this item with respect to the Audit Committee and its financial expert and with respect to the Compensation and Governance Committee's responsibility for establishing procedures by which Share Owners may recommend nominees to the Board of Directors is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the caption "Information Concerning the Board of Directors and Committees."

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information required by this item with respect to Executive Officers of the Registrant is included at the end of Part I and is incorporated herein by reference.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

The information required by this item with respect to compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

Code of Ethics

The Company has a code of ethics that applies to all of its employees, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the Chief Accounting Officer. The code of ethics is posted on the Company's website at www.ir.kimball.com. It is the Company's intention to disclose any amendments to the code of ethics on this website. In addition, any waivers of the code of ethics for directors or executive officers of the Company will be disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Item 11 - Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the captions "Information Concerning the Board of Directors and Committees," "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Compensation Committee Report," "Compensation Related Risk Assessment," and "Executive Officer and Director Compensation."

Item 12 - *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Share Owner Matters*

Security Ownership

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the caption "Share Ownership Information."

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the caption "Executive Officer and Director Compensation — Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans."

Item 13 - *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the caption "Review and Approval of Transactions with Related Persons."

Director Independence

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the caption "Information Concerning the Board of Directors and Committees."

Item 14 - *Principal Accounting Fees and Services*

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the material contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of Share Owners to be held October 16, 2012 under the caption "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and "Appendix A — Approval Process for Services Performed by the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

PART IV

Item 15 - Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements:

The following consolidated financial statements of the Company are found in Item 8 and incorporated herein.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	33
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	34
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and 2011	35
Consolidated Statements of Income for Each of the Three Years in the Period Ended June 30, 2012 . .	36
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Each of the Three Years in the Period Ended June 30, 2012	37
Consolidated Statements of Share Owners' Equity for Each of the Three Years in the Period Ended June 30, 2012	38
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	39

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for Each of the Three Years in the Period Ended June 30, 2012	74
--	----

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted because they are either not required or not applicable, or the required information is presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(3) Exhibits

See the Index of Exhibits on page 75 for a list of the exhibits filed or incorporated herein as a part of this report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

By: /s/ ROBERT F. SCHNEIDER

Robert F. Schneider
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer
August 27, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

/s/ JAMES C. THYEN

James C. Thyen
President,
Chief Executive Officer
August 27, 2012

/s/ ROBERT F. SCHNEIDER

Robert F. Schneider
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer
August 27, 2012

/s/ MICHELLE R. SCHROEDER

Michelle R. Schroeder
Vice President,
Chief Accounting Officer
August 27, 2012

Signature

DOUGLAS A. HABIG *

Douglas A. Habig

Chairman of the Board

THOMAS J. TISCHHAUSER *

Thomas J. Tischhauser

Director

CHRISTINE M. VUJOVICH *

Christine M. Vujovich

Director

Signature

HARRY W. BOWMAN *

Harry W. Bowman

Director

GEOFFREY L. STRINGER *

Geoffrey L. Stringer

Director

JACK R. WENTWORTH *

Jack R. Wentworth

Director

- * The undersigned does hereby sign this document on my behalf pursuant to powers of attorney duly executed and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, all in the capacities as indicated:

Date

August 27, 2012

/s/ JAMES C. THYEN

James C. Thyen

President, Chief Executive Officer, Director

Individually and as Attorney-In-Fact

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Schedule II. - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Description	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions to Expense	Adjustments to Other Accounts	Write-offs and Recoveries	Balance at End of Year
(Amounts in Thousands)					
Year Ended June 30, 2012					
Valuation Allowances:					
Short-Term Receivables	\$ 1,799	\$ 267	\$ (83)	\$ (616)	\$ 1,367
Deferred Tax Asset	\$ 6,698	\$ 355	\$ —	\$ (5,142)	\$ 1,911
Year Ended June 30, 2011					
Valuation Allowances:					
Short-Term Receivables	\$ 3,349	\$ 476	\$ 195	\$ (2,221)	\$ 1,799
Long-Term Notes Receivable	\$ 69	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (69)	\$ —
Deferred Tax Asset	\$ 5,777	\$ 1,297	\$ —	\$ (376)	\$ 6,698
Year Ended June 30, 2010					
Valuation Allowances:					
Short-Term Receivables	\$ 4,366	\$ 232	\$ (45)	\$ (1,204)	\$ 3,349
Long-Term Notes Receivable	\$ —	\$ 69	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 69
Deferred Tax Asset	\$ 5,132	\$ 814	\$ —	\$ (169)	\$ 5,777

KIMBALL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
3(a)	Amended and restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company
3(b)	Restated By-laws of the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to the Company's Form 8-K filed October 23, 2009)
10(a)*	Summary of Director and Named Executive Officer Compensation
10(b)*	Discretionary Compensation
10(c)*	2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2008)
10(d)*	Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan (2009 Revision) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended December 31, 2008)
10(e)*	1996 Stock Incentive Program (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(e) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011)
10(f)*	Form of Annual Performance Share Award Agreement, as amended on August 22, 2006 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011)
10(g)	Credit Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2008, among the Company, the lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Agent and Letter of Credit Issuer (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed April 28, 2008)
10(h)*	Form of Employment Agreement dated March 8, 2010 between the Company and each of Donald W. Van Winkle and Stanley C. Sapp and dated May 1, 2006 between the Company and each of James C. Thyen, Douglas A. Habig, Robert F. Schneider, Donald D. Charron, John H. Kahle and Gary W. Schwartz (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011)
10(i)*	Form of Long Term Performance Share Award, as amended on August 22, 2006 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011)
10(j)*	Description of the Company's 2010 Profit Sharing Incentive Bonus Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed October 25, 2010)
11	Computation of Earnings Per Share (Incorporated by reference to Note 15 - Earnings Per Share of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
24	Power of Attorney
31.1	Certification filed by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification filed by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification furnished by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification furnished by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document **
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document **
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document **
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document **
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document **
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document **

* Constitutes management contract or compensatory arrangement

** These interactive data files shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a),
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, James C. Thyen, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Kimball International, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 27, 2012

/s/ JAMES C. THYEN

JAMES C. THYEN

President,

Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a),
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Robert F. Schneider, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Kimball International, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 27, 2012

/s/ ROBERT F. SCHNEIDER

ROBERT F. SCHNEIDER
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Kimball International, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, James C. Thyen, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: August 27, 2012

/s/ JAMES C. THYEN

JAMES C. THYEN
President,
Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Kimball International, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ending June 30, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Robert F. Schneider, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: August 27, 2012

/s/ ROBERT F. SCHNEIDER

ROBERT F. SCHNEIDER
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer

(This page intentionally left blank)

Board of Directors

Harry W. Bowman + *

Retired; Former President and
Chief Executive Officer,
The Stiffel Company
Director 12 years

Douglas A. Habig *

Chairman of the Board of Directors,
Kimball International
Director 39 years

Geoffrey L. Stringer + # *

Retired; Former Executive Vice President,
Bank One Corporation and Chief Executive Officer,
Bank One Capital Corporation
Director 9 years

James C. Thyen *

President, Chief Executive Officer,
Kimball International
Director 30 years

Thomas J. Tischhauser +

Executive Consultant, Leadership Development,
Former Corporate Vice President,
Continental Automotive and Motorola, Inc.
Director 4 years

Christine M. Vujovich # *

Retired; Former Vice President,
Marketing and Environmental Policy,
Cummins, Inc.
Director 18 years

Dr. Jack R. Wentworth

Retired; Arthur M. Weimer Professor Emeritus,
Business Administration,
Indiana University;
Former Dean, Kelley School of Business,
Indiana University
Director 28 years

+ Member of the Audit Committee of the Board

Member of the Compensation and Governance
Committee of the Board

* Member of the Strategic Planning Committee of
the Board

Other Corporate Data

Kimball International, Inc. and Subsidiaries

10-K Report

A copy of the Company's annual report to the Securities and
Exchange Commission on Form 10-K is available, without
charge, upon written request directed to Robert F. Schneider,
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, at our corporate
headquarters and is available on our website at: www.kimball.com.

Transfer Agent and Registrar of the Class A and B Common Stock

Share Owners with questions concerning address changes,
dividend checks, registration changes, lost share certificates or
transferring shares may contact:

Computershare

P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940 (written requests)
250 Royal Street, Canton, MA 02021 (overnight delivery)
Phones: 800-622-6757 (U.S., Canada, Puerto Rico)
781-575-4735 (non-U.S.)

Email inquiries: web.queries@computershare.com

Investor Centre™ website: www.computershare.com/investor

Corporate Headquarters

Kimball International, Inc.
1600 Royal Street
Jasper, Indiana 47549-1001
(812) 482-1600
(800) 482-1616 (Toll Free)
(812) 482-8500 (TDD for Hearing Impaired)
Internet Address: www.kimball.com

Officers

Corporate Officers

Donald D. Charron

Executive Vice President,
President-Kimball Electronics Group

John H. Kahle

Executive Vice President,
General Counsel, Secretary

Robert F. Schneider

Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer

Gary W. Schwartz

Executive Vice President,
Chief Information Officer

Stanley C. Sapp

Vice President,
President-Kimball Hospitality

Donald W. Van Winkle

Vice President,
President-Office Furniture Group

R. Gregory Kincer

Vice President,
Business Development, Treasurer

Michelle R. Schroeder

Vice President,
Chief Accounting Officer

Dean M. Vonderheide

Vice President,
Organizational Effectiveness

Domestic Subsidiary Officers:

Robert W. Bomholt

Vice President,
General Manager,
Kimball Hospitality

Roger Chang (Chang Shang Yu)

Vice President,
Asian Operations,
Kimball Electronics Group

Richard C. Farr

Vice President,
Global Operations,
National Office Furniture

Jeffrey L. Fenwick

Vice President,
General Manager,
Kimball Office

Steven T. Korn

Vice President,
North American Operations,
Kimball Electronics Group

John C. Manchir

Vice President,
Operations,
Kimball Office

Kevin D. McCoy

Vice President,
General Manager,
National Office Furniture

C. Allen Parker

Vice President,
Marketing and Sales,
Kimball Office

Robert E. Rohlman

Vice President,
Sales,
National Office Furniture

Michael K. Sergesketter

Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer,
Kimball Electronics Group

Kourtney L. Smith

Vice President,
Marketing,
National Office Furniture

Christopher J. Thyen

Vice President,
Business Development,
Kimball Electronics Group

Zygmunt Witort

Vice President,
European Operations,
Kimball Electronics Group

Foreign Subsidiary Managers:

Janusz F. Kasprzyk

General Manager,
Kimball Electronics Poland,
Sp. zo. o.

Meechai Charatpattanawong

General Manager,
Kimball Electronics (Thailand), Ltd.

Robert O. Burre

General Manager,
Kimball Electronics-Mexico,
S.A. de C.V.

Daniel Gu (LuYin Gu)

General Manager,
Kimball Electronics (Nanjing) Co., Ltd.

Corporate Sustainability Report

To view our Corporate Sustainability Report please
go to http://www.kimball.com/corporate_social_responsibility.aspx

We are pleased to offer materials to our Share Owners over the Internet. As a
result, we are printing far fewer copies, thus conserving natural resources and
reducing energy use in printing and shipping materials.

Kimball International urges you to help
the environment - please recycle.



This report is printed on FSC-certified paper made with 10% post-consumer waste. The FSC sets standards to ensure the
wood and pulp used in the production process are grown, harvested and manufactured to high environmental standards.
All parts of the manufacturing process are audited to ensure adherence to these standards.

The inks used in the printing of this report contain an average of 25%-35% vegetable oils from plant derivatives, a
renewable resource. They replace petroleum inks as an effort to also reduce volatile organic compounds (VOC's).



1600 Royal Street
Jasper, IN 47549
812-482-1600
812-482-8500 TDD
www.kimball.com



To learn more about Kimball International
scan this with your smartphone.